

Cohomologies of the Poisson superalgebra

S.E. Konstein^{*}, A.G. Smirnov[†], and I.V. Tyutin[‡]

I.E.Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics,

P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute,

119991, Leninsky Prospect 53, Moscow, Russia.

Abstract

Cohomology spaces of the Poisson superalgebra realized on smooth Grassmann-valued functions with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ are investigated under suitable continuity restrictions on cochains. The first and second cohomology spaces in the trivial representation and the zeroth and first cohomology spaces in the adjoint representation of the Poisson superalgebra are found for the case of a constant nondegenerate Poisson superbracket for arbitrary $n > 0$. The third cohomology space in the trivial representation and the second cohomology space in the adjoint representation of this superalgebra are found for arbitrary $n > 1$.

1 Introduction

The hope to construct the quantum mechanics on nontrivial manifolds is connected with geometrical or deformation quantization [1] - [4]. The functions on the phase space are associated with the operators, and the product and the commutator of the operators are described by associative $*$ -product and $*$ -commutator of the functions. These $*$ -product and $*$ -commutator are the deformations of usual product and of usual Poisson bracket.

To find the deformations of Poisson superalgebra, one should calculate the second cohomology of the Poisson superalgebra.

In [5], the lower cohomologies (up to second) were calculated for the Poisson algebra consisting of smooth complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. In [6], the deformations of the Lie superalgebra of Hamiltonian vector fields with polynomial coefficients are investigated. The pure Grassmanian case $n = 0$ is considered in [7] and [8].

In this paper, we calculate the lower cohomologies of the Poisson superalgebra of the Grassmann-valued functions with compact supports on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ in the trivial (up to third cohomology) and adjoint representation (up to second cohomology) for the case $n \geq 2$. It occurred that the case $n = 1$ needs a separate consideration which is performed in [9]. In [10] we consider the central extensions of the algebras considered in this paper.

^{*}E-mail: konstein@lpi.ru

[†]E-mail: smirnov@lpi.ru

[‡]E-mail: tyutin@lpi.ru

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of smooth $\mathbb{K}$ -valued functions with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This space is endowed with its standard topology: by definition, a sequence $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ converges to $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if the supports of all φ_k are contained in a fixed compact set, and $\partial^\lambda \varphi_k$ converge uniformly to $\partial^\lambda \varphi$ for every multi-index λ . We set

$$\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-} = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_+}) \otimes \mathbb{G}^{n_-}, \quad \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n_+}) \otimes \mathbb{G}^{n_-}, \quad \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{\prime n_-} = \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_+}) \otimes \mathbb{G}^{n_-},$$

where $\mathbb{G}^{n_-}$ is the Grassmann algebra with n_- generators and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_+})$ is the space of continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_+})$. The generators of the Grassmann algebra (resp., the coordinates of the space $\mathbb{R}^{n_+}$) are denoted by ξ^α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, n_-$ (resp., x^i , $i = 1, \dots, n_+$). We shall also use collective variables z^A which are equal to x^A for $A = 1, \dots, n_+$ and are equal to ξ^{A-n_+} for $A = n_+ + 1, \dots, n_+ + n_-$. The spaces $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, $\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, and $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{\prime n_-}$ possess a natural grading which is determined by that of the Grassmann algebra. The parity of an element f of these spaces is denoted by $\varepsilon(f)$. We also set $\varepsilon_A = 0$ for $A = 1, \dots, n_+$ and $\varepsilon_A = 1$ for $A = n_+ + 1, \dots, n_+ + n_-$. Let $\sigma(f, g) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(g)}$ and $\sigma(A, B) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A \varepsilon_B}$.

Let $\partial/\partial z^A$ and $\overleftarrow{\partial}/\partial z^A$ be the operators of the left and right differentiation. The Poisson bracket is defined by the relation

$$\{f, g\}(z) = f(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} g(z) = -\sigma(f, g) \{g, f\}(z), \quad (1.1)$$

where the symplectic metric $\omega^{AB} = -\sigma(A, B) \omega^{BA}$ is a constant invertible matrix. For definiteness, we choose it in the form

$$\omega^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_\alpha \delta^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_\alpha = \pm 1, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n_+, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1 + n_+, \dots, n_+ + n_+,$$

where ω^{ij} is the canonical symplectic form (if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then one can choose $\lambda_\alpha = 1$). The nondegeneracy of the matrix ω^{AB} implies, in particular, that n_+ is even. The Poisson superbracket satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sigma(f, h) \{f, \{g, h\}\}(z) + \text{cycle}(f, g, h) = 0, \quad f, g, h \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}. \quad (1.2)$$

By Poisson superalgebra, we mean the space $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ with the Poisson bracket (1.1) on it. The relations (1.1) and (1.2) show that this bracket indeed determines a Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$.

The integral on $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ is defined by the relation $\int dz f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_+}} dx \int d\xi f(z)$, where the integral on the Grassmann algebra is normed by the condition $\int d\xi \xi^1 \dots \xi^{n_-} = 1$. We identify $\mathbb{G}^{n_-}$ with its dual space $\mathbb{G}^{\prime n_-}$ setting $f(g) = \int d\xi f(\xi) g(\xi)$, $f, g \in \mathbb{G}^{n_-}$. Correspondingly, the space $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{\prime n_-}$ of continuous linear functionals on $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ is identified with the space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_+}) \otimes \mathbb{G}^{n_-}$. As a rule, the value $m(f)$ of a functional $m \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{\prime n_-}$ on a test function $f \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ will be written in the “integral” form: $m(f) = \int dz m(z) f(z)$.

Let L be a Lie superalgebra acting in a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded space V (the action of $f \in L$ on $v \in V$ will be denoted by $f \cdot v$). The space $C_p(L, V)$ of p -cochains consists of all multilinear superantisymmetric mappings from L^p to V . The space $C_p(L, V)$ possesses a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading: by definition, $M_p \in C_p(L, V)$ has the definite parity $\varepsilon(M_p)$ if

$$\varepsilon(M_p(f_1, \dots, f_p)) = \varepsilon(M_p) + \varepsilon(f_1) + \dots + \varepsilon(f_p)$$

for any $f_j \in L$ with parities $\epsilon(f_j)$. The differential d_p^V is defined to be the linear operator from $C_p(L, V)$ to $C_{p+1}(L, V)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_p^V M_p(f_1, \dots, f_{p+1}) = & - \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+\epsilon(f_j)|\epsilon(f)|_{1,j-1}+\epsilon(f_j)\epsilon_{M_p}} f_j \cdot M_p(f_1, \dots, \check{f}_j, \dots, f_{p+1}) - \\ & - \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j+\epsilon(f_j)|\epsilon(f)|_{i+1,j-1}} M_p(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, \{f_i, f_j\}, f_{i+1}, \dots, \check{f}_j, \dots, f_{p+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

for any $M_p \in C_p(L, V)$ and $f_1, \dots, f_{p+1} \in L$ having definite parities. Here the sign $\check{}$ means that the argument is omitted and the notation

$$|\epsilon(f)|_{i,j} = \sum_{l=i}^j \epsilon(f_l)$$

has been used. The differential d^V is nilpotent (see [11]), *i.e.*, $d_{p+1}^V d_p^V = 0$ for any $p = 0, 1, \dots$. The p -th cohomology space of the differential d_p^V will be denoted by H_p^V . The second cohomology space H_{ad}^2 in the adjoint representation is closely related to the problem of finding formal deformations of the Lie bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ of the form $\{f, g\}_* = \{f, g\} + \hbar^2 \{f, g\}_1 + \dots$. The condition that $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is a 2-cocycle is equivalent to the Jacobi identity for $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_*$ modulo the $\hbar^4$ -order terms.

In the case of Poisson algebra, the problem of finding deformations can hardly be solved in such a general setting, and additional restrictions on cochains (apart from linearity and antisymmetry) are usually imposed. In some papers on deformation quantization it is supposed that the kernels of n -th order deformations $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_n$ are bidifferential operators. In the present paper, this requirement is replaced with the much weaker condition that cochains should be separately continuous multilinear mappings. It will be shown that this gives rise to additional cohomologies. The general form of the deformations of the Poisson superalgebra considered in the present paper is found in [12].

We study the cohomologies of the Poisson algebra $\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ in the following representations:

1. The trivial representation: $V = \mathbb{K}$, $f \cdot a = 0$ for any $f \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ and $a \in \mathbb{K}$. The space $C_p(\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}, \mathbb{K})$ consists of separately continuous antisymmetric multilinear forms on $(\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-})^p$. The cohomology spaces and the differentials will be denoted by H_{tr}^p and d_p^{tr} respectively.
2. $V = \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ and $f \cdot m = \{f, m\}$ for any $f \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ and $m \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$. The space $C_p(\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}, \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-})$ consists of separately continuous antisymmetric multilinear mappings from $(\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-})^p$ to $\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$. The continuity of $M \in C_p(\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}, \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-})$ means that the $(p+1)$ -form

$$(f_1, \dots, f_{p+1}) \rightarrow \int dz M(f_1, \dots, f_p)(z) f_{p+1}(z)$$

on $(\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-})^{p+1}$ is separately continuous. The cohomology spaces will be denoted by $H_{\mathbf{D}}^p$.

3. $V = \mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-}$ and $f \cdot m = \{f, m\}$ for every $f \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ and $m \in \mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-}$. The space $C_p(\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}, \mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-})$ consists of separately continuous antisymmetric multilinear mappings from $(\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-})^p$ to $\mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-}$ (the space $\mathbf{E}_{n+}^{n-}$ is endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n+}$). The cohomology spaces will be denoted by $H_{\mathbf{E}}^p$.

4. The adjoint representation: $V = \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ and $f \cdot g = \{f, g\}$ for any $f, g \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. The space $C_p(\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}, \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-})$ consists of separately continuous antisymmetric multilinear mappings from $(\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-})^p$ to $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. The cohomology spaces and the differentials will be denoted by H_{ad}^p and d_p^{ad} respectively.

For the representations 2 and 3, we shall denote the differentials by the same symbol d_p^{ad} as in the adjoint representation. Note that in the case of the trivial representation, $\mathbb{K}$ is considered as a graded space whose odd subspace is trivial. We shall call p-cocycles $M_p^1, \dots, M_p^k$ independent if they give rise to linearly independent elements in H^p . For a multilinear form M_p taking values in $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, $\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, or $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, we write $M_p(z|f_1, \dots, f_p)$ instead of more cumbersome $M_p(f_1, \dots, f_p)(z)$.

The main results of this work are given by the following three theorems.

Theorem 1.1.

1. $H_{\text{tr}}^1 \simeq \mathbb{K}$; the linear form $M_1(f) = \bar{f} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int dz f(z)$ is the nontrivial cocycle.
2. Let bilinear forms M_2^1 and M_2^2 be defined by the relations

$$M_2^1(f, g) = \bar{f}\bar{g}, \quad M_2^2(f, g) = \int dz (f(z)\mathcal{E}_z g(z) - \sigma(f, g)g(z)\mathcal{E}_z f(z)), \quad f, g \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-},$$

where¹ $\mathcal{E}_z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - \frac{1}{2}z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial z^A}$.

If n_- is even and $n_+ + 4 \neq n_-$, then $H_{\text{tr}}^2 = 0$; if $n_+ + 4 = n_-$, then $H_{\text{tr}}^2 \simeq \mathbb{K}$ and the form M_2^2 is a nontrivial cocycle; if n_- is odd, then $H_{\text{tr}}^2 \simeq \mathbb{K}$ and the form M_2^1 is a nontrivial cocycle.

3. Let $n_+ \geq 4$. and trilinear forms M_3^1 , M_3^2 , M_3^3 , and M_3^4 be defined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} M_3^1(f, g, h) &= \int dz f(z) \left[g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z) \right], \\ M_3^2(f, g, h) &= \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z), \quad M_3^3(f, g, h) = \bar{f}\bar{g}\bar{h}, \\ M_3^4(f, g, h) &= \bar{f}M_2^2(g, h) - \sigma(f, g)\bar{g}M_2^2(f, h) + \sigma(f, h)\sigma(g, h)\bar{h}M_2^2(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

If n_- is even, $n_+ \neq n_-$, and $n_+ + 4 \neq n_-$, then $H_{\text{tr}}^3 \simeq \mathbb{K}^2$ and the forms M_3^1 and M_3^2 are independent nontrivial cocycle; if $n_+ = n_-$, then $H_{\text{tr}}^3 \simeq \mathbb{K}$ and the form M_3^1 is a nontrivial cocycle; if $n_+ + 4 = n_-$, then $H_{\text{tr}}^3 \simeq \mathbb{K}^3$ and the forms M_3^1 , M_3^2 , and M_3^4 are independent nontrivial cocycles; if n_- is odd, then $H_{\text{tr}}^3 \simeq \mathbb{K}^3$ and the forms M_3^1 , M_3^2 , and M_3^3 are independent nontrivial cocycles.

Theorem 1.2.

1. $H_{\mathbf{D}}^0 \simeq H_{\mathbf{E}}^0 \simeq \mathbb{K}$; the function $M_0(z) \equiv 1$ is a nontrivial cocycle.

¹The operator $\mathcal{E}_z$ is a derivation of the Poisson superalgebra.

2. $H_{\mathbf{D}'}^1 \simeq H_{\mathbf{E}}^1 \simeq \mathbb{K}^2$; independent nontrivial cocycles are given by

$$M_1^1(z|f) = \bar{f}, \quad M_1^2(z|f) = \mathcal{E}_z f(z).$$

3. Let $n_+ \geq 4$ and the bilinear mappings M_2^1 , M_2^2 , M_2^3 , and M_2^4 from $(\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-})^2$ to $\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ be defined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} M_2^1(z|f, g) &= f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 g(z), \quad M_2^2(z|f, g) = \bar{f} \bar{g}, \\ M_2^3(z|f, g) &= \bar{g} M_1^2(z|f) - \sigma(f, g) \bar{f} M_1^2(z|g), \\ M_2^4(z|f, g) &= \int du (f(u) \mathcal{E}_u g(u) - \sigma(f, g) g(u) \mathcal{E}_u f(u)). \end{aligned}$$

If n_- is even and $n_+ + 4 \neq n_-$, then $H_{\mathbf{D}'}^2 \simeq H_{\mathbf{E}}^2 \simeq \mathbb{K}^2$ and the cochains M_2^1 and M_2^3 are independent nontrivial cocycles; if $n_+ + 4 = n_-$, then $H_{\mathbf{D}'}^2 \simeq H_{\mathbf{E}}^2 \simeq \mathbb{K}^3$ and the cochains M_2^1 , M_2^3 , and M_2^4 are independent nontrivial cocycles; if n_- is odd, then $H_{\mathbf{D}'}^2 \simeq H_{\mathbf{E}}^2 \simeq \mathbb{K}^3$ and the cochains M_2^1 , M_2^2 and M_2^3 are independent nontrivial cocycles.

Theorem 1.3.

1. $H_{\text{ad}}^0 = 0$.
2. Let V_1 be the one-dimensional subspace of $C_1(\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}, \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-})$ generated by the cocycle M_1^2 defined in Theorem 1.2. Then there is a natural isomorphism $V_1 \oplus (\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-} / \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}) \simeq H_{\text{ad}}^1$ taking $(M_1, T) \in V_1 \oplus (\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-} / \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-})$ to the cohomology class determined by the cocycle $M_1(z|f) + \{t(z), f(z)\}$, where $t \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ belongs to the equivalence class T .
3. Let $n_+ \geq 4$. Let V_2 be the two-dimensional subspace of $C_2(\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}, \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-})$ generated by the cocycles M_2^1 and M_2^3 defined in Theorem 1.2. Then there is a natural isomorphism $V_2 \oplus (\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-} / \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}) \simeq H_{\text{ad}}^2$ taking $(M_2, T) \in V_2 \oplus (\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-} / \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-})$ to the cohomology class determined by the cocycle $M_2(z|f, g) - \{t(z), f(z)\} \bar{g} + \sigma(f, g) \{t(z), g(z)\} \bar{f}$, where $t \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ belongs to the equivalence class T .

2 Preliminaries

We adopt the following multi-index notation:

$$\begin{aligned} (z^A)^0 &\equiv 1, \quad (z^A)^k \equiv z^{A_1} \cdots z^{A_k}, \quad k \geq 1, \\ (\partial_A^z)^0 &\equiv 1, \quad (\partial_A^z)^k \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{A_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{A_k}}, \quad k \geq 1, \\ (\overleftarrow{\partial}_A^z)^0 &\equiv 1, \quad (\overleftarrow{\partial}_A^z)^k \equiv \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^{A_k}} \cdots \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^{A_1}}, \quad k \geq 1, \\ T^{\dots(A)_k \dots} &\equiv T^{\dots A_1 \dots A_k \dots}, \quad T^{\dots A_i A_{i+1} \dots} = \sigma(A_i, A_{i+1}) T^{\dots A_{i+1} A_i \dots}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1. \end{aligned}$$

The δ -function is normed by the relation

$$\int dz' \delta(z' - z) f(z') = \int f(z') \delta(z - z') dz' = f(z).$$

We denote by $M_p(\dots)$ the separately continuous p -linear forms on $(\mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-})^p$. Thus, the arguments of these functionals are the functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-} f_{(\alpha)_k}(x) (\xi^\alpha)^k, \quad f_{(\alpha)_k}(x) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n+}). \quad (2.1)$$

It can be easily proved that such multilinear forms can be written in the integral form (see Appendix 1):

$$M_p(f_1, \dots, f_p) = \int dz_p \cdots dz_1 m_p(z_1, \dots, z_p) f_1(z_1) \cdots f_p(z_p), \quad p = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$M_p(z|f_1, \dots, f_p) = \int dz_p \cdots dz_1 m_p(z|z_1, \dots, z_p) f_1(z_1) \cdots f_p(z_p), \quad p = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.3)$$

It follows from the antisymmetry properties of the forms M_p that the corresponding kernels m_p have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(m_p(*|z_1, \dots, z_p)) &= pn_- + \varepsilon_{M_p}, \\ m_p(*|z_1 \dots z_i, z_{i+1} \dots z_p) &= -(-1)^{n-} m_p(*|z_1 \dots z_{i+1}, z_i \dots z_p). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For the trivial and adjoint representations of the Poisson superalgebra, the general definition (1.3) takes the form

$$d_p^{\text{tr}} M_p(f) = - \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j+\varepsilon(f_j)|\varepsilon(f)|_{i+1,j-1}} M_p(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, \{f_i, f_j\}, f_{i+1}, \dots, \check{f}_j, \dots, f_{p+1}), \quad p \geq 1, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_p^{\text{ad}} M_p(z|f) &= \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+\varepsilon(f_j)|\varepsilon(f)|_{j+1,p+1}} \{M_p(z|f_1, \dots, \check{f}_j, \dots, f_{p+1}), f_j(z)\} - \\ &- \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{j+\varepsilon(f_j)|\varepsilon(f)|_{i+1,j-1}} M_p(z|f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, \{f_i, f_j\}, f_{i+1}, \dots, \check{f}_j, \dots, f_{p+1}), \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where the sign $\check{}$ over the argument means that this argument is omitted.

The support $\text{supp}(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+}$ of a test function $f \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$ is defined to be the union of the supports of all $f_{(\alpha)_k}$ entering in the decomposition (2.1). For $m \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$, the set $\text{supp}(m)$ is defined analogously. Besides, we introduce the following notation

$$\overleftarrow{D}_z^A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^B} \omega^{BA}. \quad (2.7)$$

The Poisson superbracket has the following useful property:

$$\int dz f(z) \{g(z), h(z)\} = \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) \quad (2.8)$$

for arbitrary test functions f, g, h .

3 Cohomologies in the trivial representation

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 describing the first, second, and third cohomologies in the trivial representation.

3.1 H_{tr}^1

Let $M_1(f) = \int dz m_1(z) f(z)$. Then the cohomology equation has the form

$$0 = -d_1^{\text{tr}} M_1(f, g) = M_1(\{f, g\}) = \int dz m_1(z) \{f(z), g(z)\} = \int dz \{m_1(z), f(z)\} g(z). \quad (3.1)$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$m_1(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} f(z) = 0$$

, which implies that

$$m_1(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad m_1(z) = m_1 = \text{const.}$$

We therefore have $M_1(f) = m_1 \bar{f}$, $\varepsilon(m_1) = n_- + \varepsilon(M_1)$, where $\bar{f} \equiv \int dz f(z)$. Obviously, these cocycles are nontrivial.

3.2 H_{tr}^2

For the bilinear form $M_2(f, g) = \int du dz m_2(z, u) f(z) g(u)$, the cohomology equation has the form

$$0 = -d_2^{\text{tr}} M_2(f, g, h) = M_2(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h) M_2(\{f, h\}, g) - M_2(f, \{g, h\}). \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\text{supp}(h) \cap [\text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g)] = \emptyset$. Then we have $\hat{M}_2(\{f, g\}, h) = 0$ or

$$\int dz \hat{m}_2(z, u) \{f(z), g(z)\} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \frac{\partial \hat{m}_2(z, u)}{\partial z^A} = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where the hat means that the corresponding form (or kernel) is considered out of the diagonal $z = u$.

Let $T_A(z, u) = \partial m_2(z, u) / \partial z^A$. Then we have $\hat{T}_A(z, u) = 0$ and by Lemma A5.2, there is a locally finite decomposition

$$T_A(z, u) = \sum_{q=0}^Q (\partial_C^z)^q \delta(z - u) t_A^{(C)^q}(u).$$

When working with decompositions of this type, we shall always first restrict them to a bounded domain, where the summation limit Q can be chosen finite, and then “glue” together the results obtained for different domains. In every particular case, the possibility of such gluing will be obvious. Everywhere below the summation limit Q is understood as a finite number depending implicitly on a chosen bounded domain.

By construction, the kernel $T_A(z, u)$ has the property $\partial_A^z T_B(z, u) - \sigma(A, B) \partial_B^z T_A(z, u) = 0$. This implies that

$$T_A(z, u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^A} \sum_{q=0}^Q (\partial_C)^q \delta(z - u) t^{(C)q}(u)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^A} \left[m_2(z, u) - \sum_{q=0}^Q (\partial_C^z)^q \delta(z - u) t^{(C)q}(u) \right] = 0.$$

So

$$m_2(z, u) - \sum_{q=0}^Q (\partial_C^z)^q \delta(z - u) t^{(C)q}(u) = m(u).$$

By the antisymmetry of $m_2(z, u)$, we have $m(u) = c = \text{const}$, $\varepsilon(c) = \varepsilon(M_2)$. We thus obtain $M_2(f, g) = M_{2|1}(f, g) + M_{2|2}(f, g)$, where $M_{2|1}(f, g) = c \bar{f} \bar{g}$, $d_2^{\text{br}} M_{2|1}(f, g, h) = 0$, and $M_{2|2}$ has the form

$$M_{2|2}(f, g) = \sum_{q=1}^Q \int dz m_2^{(A)q}(z) [(\partial_A^z)^q f(z)] g(z) - \sigma(f, g) [(\partial_A^z)^q g(z)] f(z). \quad (3.4)$$

Because of the antisymmetry of M_2 , the constant c can be nonzero only for odd n_- .

Using integration by parts, we can rewrite the expression (3.4) as a sum over odd q only and represent $M_{2|2}(f, g)$ in the form

$$M_{2|2}(f, g) = \sum_{q=1}^Q \int dz m_2^{(A)q}(z) (\partial_A^u)^q [f(z+u)g(z-u)]|_{u=0}. \quad (3.5)$$

The cohomology equation (3.2) now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q=1}^Q \int dz m_2^{(A)q}(z) (\partial_A^u)^q [\{f, g\}(z+u)h(z-u) - \\ \sigma(g, h)\{f, h\}(z+u)g(z-u) - f(z+u)\{g, h\}(z-u)]|_{u=0} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Proposition 3.1. $m_2^{(A)q}(z) = 0$ if $q > 1$.

Indeed, let $Q \geq 3$. Substituting $f(z) = e^{zp_1} \varphi_1(z)$, $g(z) = e^{zp_2} \varphi_2(z)$, and $h(z) = e^{-z(p_1+p_2)} \varphi_3(z)$ in the left-hand side of this equation yields a polynomial in p_1 and p_2 . Equation (3.6) implies that its homogeneous part of degree $Q+2$ should vanish:

$$\int dz m_2^{(A)Q} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_3 [p_1, p_2] [((p_1 + p_2)_A)^Q - (p_{2A})^Q - (p_{1A})^Q] = 0$$

(here and below $[p_1, p_2] = (-1)^{\varepsilon_B} p_{1B} \omega^{BC} p_{2C}$). This implies the required statement.

Thus, $M_{2|2}$ has the form

$$M_{2|2}(f, g) = \int dz m_2^A(z) \left(2 \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z^A} g(z) + (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} f(z) g(z) \right),$$

and the equation for $m_2^A(z)$ takes the form

$$2 \int dz m_2^A \left(\frac{\partial \{f, g\}}{\partial z^A} h - \sigma(g, h) \frac{\partial \{f, h\}}{\partial z^A} g - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^A} \{g, h\} \right) + \int dz m (\{f, g\} h - \sigma(g, h) \{f, h\} g - f \{g, h\}) = 0,$$

where

$$m(z) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} m_2^A(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A}.$$

Varying this equation w.r.t. $h(z)$, we obtain

$$2[\sigma(A, f) \{m_2^A, f\} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^A} - \sigma(f, g) \sigma(A, g) \{m_2^A, g\} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^A}] - m \{f, g\} + \{m, f\} g - \sigma(f, g) \{m, g\} f = 0.$$

Putting the coefficients at $f(z)$ and $\partial f(z) \partial g(z)$ equal to zero, we obtain $m(z) \overleftarrow{\partial} / \partial z^A = 0$. So $m(z) = -m = \text{const}$, $\varepsilon(m) = n_- + \varepsilon(M_2)$, and the equation for $m_2^A(z)$ assumes the form

$$2m_2^A(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CB} - 2\sigma(A, B) m_2^B(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CA} - m \omega^{AB} = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Multiplying Eq. (3.7) by $(-1)^{\varepsilon_A} \omega_{BA}$ gives

$$(4 + n_+ - n_-)m = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

To solve Eq. (3.8), we have to consider two cases.

i) Let $4 + n_+ - n_- \neq 0$. Then $m = 0$ and by the Poincare lemma we have $m_2^A(z) = \frac{1}{2} m_2(z) \overleftarrow{D}_z^A$, $\varepsilon(m_2) = n_- + \varepsilon_{M_2}$.

ii) Let $4 + n_+ - n_- = 0$. In this case, the general solution of Eq. (3.7) has the form

$$m_2^A(z) = \frac{1}{4} m z^A + \frac{1}{2} m_2(z) \overleftarrow{D}_z^A.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$M_2(f, g) = \frac{1 - (-1)^{n_-}}{2} c \bar{f} \bar{g} + \delta_{n_- - n_+, 4} m \int dz (f(z) \mathcal{E}_z g(z) - \sigma(f, g) g(z) \mathcal{E}_z f(z)) + d_1^{\text{tr}} m_1(f, g). \quad (3.9)$$

The first two terms in (3.9) are independent nontrivial cocycles. Indeed, let

$$c \bar{f} \bar{g} + \delta_{n_- - n_+, 4} m \int dz (f(z) \mathcal{E}_z g(z) - \sigma(f, g) g(z) \mathcal{E}_z f(z)) = d_1^{\text{tr}} m_1(f, g) = -m_1(\{f, g\}). \quad (3.10)$$

Suppose that

$$\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset.$$

It follows from (3.10) that $c \bar{f} \bar{g} = 0$ and so $c = 0$.

Let $g(z) = 1$ in a neighborhood of $\text{supp}(f)$. Then the Eq. (3.10) is reduced to

$$m \int dz z^A \partial_A f(z) = 4m \bar{f} = 0 \quad \text{and so} \quad m = 0,$$

i.e. the sum of the first two terms is a coboundary only if $c = m = 0$.

3.3 H_{tr}^3

In this subsection we assume that $n_+ \geq 4$.

The cohomology equation for the form

$$M_3(f, g, h) = \int dv du dz m_3(z, u, v) f(z) g(u) h(v),$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_3^{\text{tr}} M_3(f, g, h, s) &= 0 = \\ &= M_3(\{f, g\}, h, s) - \sigma(g, h) M_3(\{f, h\}, g, s) + \sigma(g, s) \sigma(h, s) M_3(\{f, s\}, g, h) - \\ &\quad - M_3(f, \{g, h\}, s) + \sigma(h, s) M_3(f, \{g, s\}, h) + M_3(f, g, \{h, s\}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Let the arguments f, g and h of the form M_3 be such that

$$\text{supp}(s) \cap \left[\text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g) \cup \text{supp}(h) \right] = \text{supp}(h) \cap \left[\text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g) \right] = \emptyset.$$

In this case, the cohomology equation (3.11) is reduced to

$$\hat{M}_3(\{f, g\}, h, s) = 0 \implies$$

$$\partial_A \hat{m}_3(z, u, v) = 0 = \partial_A^u \hat{m}_3(z, u, v) = \partial_A^v \hat{m}_3(z, u, v). \quad (3.12)$$

The equation (3.12) can be solved in the same manner as (3.3). As a result, we have

$$M_3 = M_{3|1} + M_{3|2},$$

$$M_{3|1}(f, g, h) = c \bar{f} \bar{g} \bar{h}, \quad \varepsilon(c) = n_- + \varepsilon(M_3),$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{3|2}(f, g, h) &= \sum_{q=0}^Q \left[m^{(A)_q}([(\partial_A)^q f]g, h) - \sigma(f, g) m^{(A)_q}([(\partial_A)^q g]f, h) \right] - \\ &\quad - \sigma(g, h) \sum_{q=0}^Q \left[m^{(A)_q}([(\partial_A)^q f]h, g) - \sigma(f, h) m^{(A)_q}([(\partial_A)^q h]f, g) \right] + \\ &\quad + \sigma(f, g) \sigma(f, h) \sum_{q=0}^Q \left[m^{(A)_q}([(\partial_A)^q g]h, f) - \sigma(g, h) m^{(A)_q}([(\partial_A)^q h]g, f) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\varepsilon(m^{(A)_q}) = |\varepsilon_A|_{1,q} + \varepsilon(M_3).$$

Now let the functions in (3.11) be such that

$$\text{supp}(s) \cap \left[\text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g) \cup \text{supp}(h) \right] = \emptyset.$$

Then we have

$$\hat{M}_2(f, g) = \sum_{q=1}^Q \int dudzm^{(A)q}(z, u) ([(\partial_A^z)^q f(z)]g(z) - \sigma(f, g)[(\partial_A^z)^q g(z)]f(z)) s(u),$$

where $s(u)$ is a fixed function. Acting as in Subsection 3.2 we obtain

$$d_2^{\text{tr}} \hat{M}_2(f, g, h) = 0 \implies$$

$$\hat{m}^{(A)q}(z, u) = 0, \quad q > 1.$$

As above, we consider cases $4 + n_+ - n_- \neq 0$ and $4 + n_+ - n_- = 0$ separately.

3.3.1 The case $4 + \mathbf{n}_+ - \mathbf{n}_- \neq 0$.

$$\hat{m}^A(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CB} - \sigma(A, B) \hat{m}^B(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CA} = 0.$$

The form

$$T^{AB}(z, u) \equiv m^A(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CB} - \sigma(A, B) m^B(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CA}$$

possesses the property

$$\hat{T}^{AB}(z, u) = 0$$

and, therefore, can be written in the form

$$T^{AB}(z, u) = \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{AB|(C)q}(u) (\partial_C^u)^q \delta(u - z).$$

From the definition of $T^{AB}(z, u)$ it follows that

$$\sigma(A, C) T^{AB}(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^D} \omega^{DC} + \text{cycle}(A, B, C) = 0 \implies$$

$$\sigma(A, C) \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{AB|(C)q}(u) (\partial_C^u)^q \delta(u - z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^D} \omega^{DC} + \text{cycle}(A, B, C) = 0 \implies$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{AB|(C)q}(u) (\partial_C^u)^q \delta(u - z) &= \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{A|(C)q}(u) (\partial_C^u)^q \delta(u - z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CB} - \sigma(A, B) (A \leftrightarrow B) \implies \\ \mu^A(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CB} - \sigma(A, B) \mu^B(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CA} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\mu^A(z, u) = m^A(z, u) - \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{A|(C)q}(u) (\partial_C^u)^q \delta(u - z).$$

Relation (3.13) implies that

$$m^A(z, u) = \frac{1}{2}m(z, u)\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^B}\omega^{BA} + \sum_{q=0} t^{A|(C)q}(u)(\partial_C^u)^q\delta(u-z).$$

We thus have

$$M_{3|2}(f, g, h) = m(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h)m(\{f, h\}, g) + \sigma(f, g)\sigma(f, h)m(\{g, h\}, f) + M_{3|2\text{loc}},$$

$$M_{3|2\text{loc}} = \int dz \sum_{p,q,l=0}^N m^{(A)p|(B)q|(C)l}(z)[(\partial_A^z)^p f(z)][(\partial_B^z)^q g(z)][(\partial_C^z)^l h(z)].$$

Substituting this representation for $M_{3|2}$ in the equation (3.11) and choosing the functions f , g and h such that

$$\left[\text{supp}(f) \bigcup \text{supp}(g)\right] \cap \left[\text{supp}(h) \bigcup \text{supp}(s)\right] = \emptyset,$$

we obtain

$$\hat{m}(\{f, g\}, \{h, s\}) + \sigma(f, h)\sigma(f, s)\sigma(g, h)\sigma(g, s)\hat{m}(\{h, s\}, \{f, g\}) = 0,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \int du [\hat{m}(z, u), g(z)]\{h(u), s(u)\} + \\ & + (-1)^{n-}\sigma(g, h)\sigma(g, s)\{\hat{m}(u, z)\{h(u), s(u)\}, g(z)\} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \int du [\hat{m}(z, u) + (-1)^{n-}\hat{m}(u, z)]\{h(u), s(u)\} = 0,$$

which implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^B}(\hat{m}(z, u) + (-1)^{n-}\hat{m}(u, z))\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial u^C} = 0.$$

Let

$$T(z, u) \equiv m(z, u) + (-1)^{n-}m(u, z), \quad T_B(z, u) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B}T(z, u),$$

$$T_{BC}(z, u) \equiv T_B(z, u)\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial u^C}.$$

Since $\hat{T}_{BC}(z, u) = 0$, we can represent the distribution $T_{BC}(z, u)$ in the form

$$T_{BC}(z, u) = \sum_{q=0}^Q t_{BC}^{(A)q}(z)(\partial_A^z)^q\delta(z-u).$$

By the construction of the distribution $T_{BC}(z, u)$, we have

$$T_{BC}(z, u)\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial u^D} - \sigma(C, D)(C \leftrightarrow D) = 0.$$

So

$$t_{BC}^{(A)q}(z)(\partial_A^z)^q \delta(z-u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial u^D} - \sigma(C, D)(C \leftrightarrow D) = 0$$

and

$$t_{BC}^{(A)q}(z)(\partial_A^z)^q \delta(z-u) = t_B^{(A)q-1}(z)(\partial_A^z)^{q-1} \delta(z-u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial u^C}.$$

Hence

$$\left[T_B(z, u) - \sum_{q=0}^Q t_B^{(A)q}(z)(\partial_A^z)^q \delta(z-u) \right] \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial u^C} = 0$$

and

$$T_B(z, u) = \sum_{q=0}^Q t_B^{(A)q}(z)(\partial_A^z)^q \delta(z-u) + \phi_B(z) = \sum_{q=0}^Q \delta(z-u) (\overleftarrow{\partial}_A^u)^q t_B'^{(A)q}(u) + \phi_B(z).$$

We therefore obtain $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^C} T_B(z, u) - \sigma(B, C)(B \leftrightarrow C) = 0$, or

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^C} \left[\sum_{q=0}^Q \delta(z-u) (\overleftarrow{\partial}_A^u)^q t_B'^{(A)q}(u) + \phi_B(z) \right] - \sigma(B, C)(C \leftrightarrow B) = 0,$$

which yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^C} \sum_{q=0}^Q \delta(z-u) (\overleftarrow{\partial}_A^u)^q t_B'^{(A)q}(u) - \sigma(B, C) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \sum_{q=0}^Q \delta(z-u) (\overleftarrow{\partial}_A^u)^q t_C'^{(A)q}(u) = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^C} \phi_B(z) - \sigma(B, C) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \phi_C(z) = 0.$$

Thus

$$T(z, u) = \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(A)q}(z)(\partial_A^z)^q \delta(z-u) + \phi(z) + \psi(u).$$

The relation $T(z, u) = \frac{1}{2}(T(z, u) + (-1)^{n-} T(u, z))$ gives

$$T(z, u) = 2 \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(A)q}(z)(\partial_A^z)^q \delta(z-u) + \varphi(z) + (-1)^{n-} \varphi(u).$$

Let

$$m'(z, u) = m(z, u) - (-1)^{n-} \varphi(u).$$

The distribution $m'(z, u)$, which can be used instead of $m(z, u)$, can be written as

$$m'(z, u) = m_-(z, u) + m_+(z, u) = m_2(z, u) + \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(A)q}(z)(\partial_A^z)^q \delta(z-u),$$

$$m_{\pm}(z, u) = \frac{1}{2}[m'(z, u) \pm (-1)^{n-} m'(u, z)], \quad m_2(z, u) \equiv m_-(z, u) = -(-1)^{n-} m_2(u, z).$$

We now define the form $M_{3|3}(f, g, h)$ by the relation

$$M_{3|3}(f, g, h) = M_{3|2}(f, g, h) - d_2^{\text{tr}} m_2(f, g, h).$$

The form $M_{3|3}(f, g, h) \equiv M_{3|\text{loc}}(f, g, h)$ is absolutely local, i.e., it can take nonzero values only if $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) \cap \text{supp}(h) \neq \emptyset$.

Finally, we have

$$M_3(f, g, h) = c\bar{f}\bar{g}\bar{h} + M_{3|\text{loc}}(f, g, h) + d_2^{\text{tr}} m_2(f, g, h),$$

$$M_{3|\text{loc}}(f, g, h) = \sum_{p,q,l=0}^N M^{(A)_p|(B)_q|(C)_l} [(\partial_A)^p f][(\partial_B)^q g][(\partial_C)^l h], \quad d_3^{\text{tr}} M_{3|\text{loc}} = 0.$$

The solution of the cohomology equation for $M_{3|\text{loc}}$ uses the results of the next section and is described in Appendix 7. Up to coboundaries, it has the following form

$$M_{3|\text{loc}}(f, g, h) = a \int dz f(z) \left[g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z) \right] + b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z), \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$a = \text{const}, \quad \varepsilon(a) = n_- + \varepsilon(M_3), \quad b = \text{const}, \quad \varepsilon(b) = n_- + \varepsilon(M_3).$$

One can easily check the antisymmetry property

$$M_{3|\text{loc}}(f, h, g) = -\sigma(g, h) M_{3|\text{loc}}(f, g, h), \quad M_{3|\text{loc}}(g, f, h) = -\sigma(f, g) M_{3|\text{loc}}(f, g, h).$$

3.3.2 The case $4 + \mathbf{n}_+ - \mathbf{n}_- = 0$.

In this case

$$\hat{n}(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} = 0, \quad n(z, u) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} m^A(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \implies \hat{n}(z, u) = -4\mu(u), \quad \varepsilon(\mu) = \varepsilon(M_3)$$

Let

$$m^A(z, u) = \mu(u) z^A + m'^A(z, u), \quad \mu'(u) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} m'^A(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} = 0 \implies \hat{m}'^A(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CB} - \sigma(A, B) \hat{m}'^B(z, u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CA} = 0,$$

This equation can be solved analogously to equation (3.13):

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{3|2}(f, g, h) = & \gamma(f, g) \int dz z^A \left(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z^A} g(z) - \sigma(f, g) \frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z^A} f(z) \right) \mu(h) - \\
& - \sigma(g, h) \gamma(f, h) \int dz z^A \left(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z^A} h(z) - \sigma(f, h) \frac{\partial h(z)}{\partial z^A} f(z) \right) \mu(g) + \\
& + \sigma(f, g) \sigma(f, h) \gamma(g, h) \int dz z^A \left(\frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z^A} h(z) - \sigma(g, h) \frac{\partial h(z)}{\partial z^A} g(z) \right) \mu(f) + \\
& + m(\{f, g\}, h) + \sigma(f, g) \sigma(f, h) m(\{g, h\}, f) - \sigma(g, h) m(\{f, g\}, h) + \\
& + \sum_{p, q, l=0}^N M^{(A)p|(B)q|(C)l} [(\partial_A)^p f][(\partial_B)^q g][(\partial_C)^l h], \\
\gamma(f, g) = & (-1)^{n_- + (n_- + \varepsilon(M_3))[\varepsilon(f) + \varepsilon(g)]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this expression in cohomology equation (3.11) and choosing such function f, g, h and s that

$$\left[\text{supp}(f) \bigcup \text{supp}(g) \right] \cap \left[\text{supp}(h) \bigcup \text{supp}(s) \right] = \emptyset,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma(f, g) \int dz z^A \left(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z^A} g(z) - \sigma(f, g) \frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z^A} f(z) \right) \mu(\{h, s\}) + \\
& + \sigma(f, h) \sigma(f, s) \sigma(g, h) \sigma(g, s) \gamma(h, s) \int dz z^A \left(\frac{\partial h(z)}{\partial z^A} s(z) - \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \sigma(h, s) \frac{\partial s(z)}{\partial z^A} h(z) \right) \mu(\{f, g\}) + \\
& + \hat{m}(\{f, g\}, \{h, s\}) + \sigma(f, h) \sigma(f, s) \sigma(g, h) \sigma(g, s) \hat{m}(\{h, s\}, \{f, g\}) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int du \left(\left[2z^A \frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z^A} - 4g(z) \right] \mu(u) \{h(u), s(u)\} - \right. \\
& - (-1)^{n_-} \sigma(g, h) \sigma(g, s) \sigma(M_3, h) \sigma(M_3, s) (u^A \frac{\partial h(u)}{\partial u^A} s(u) - \\
& \quad \left. - \sigma(h, s) u^A \frac{\partial s(u)}{\partial u^A} h(u) \right) \{ \mu(z), g(z) \} + \\
& + \{ \hat{m}(z, u), g(z) \} \{h(u), s(u)\} + (-1)^{n_-} \sigma(g, h) \sigma(g, s) \{ \hat{m}(u, z) \{h(u), s(u)\}, g(z) \} \Big) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the terms proportional to $g(z)$. We obtain

$$\int du \mu(u) \{h(u), s(u)\} = 0 \implies \mu(u) = \mu = \text{const} \implies$$

$$\int du [\{ \hat{m}(z, u), g(z) \} \{h(u), s(u)\} + (-1)^{n_-} \sigma(g, h) \sigma(g, s) \{ \hat{m}(u, z) \{h(u), s(u)\}, g(z) \}] = 0.$$

The last equation coincides with (3.14), which is solved above.

3.3.3 Independence and nontriviality

Combining the results of the subsubsections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(f, g, h) = & c\bar{f}\bar{g}\bar{h} + a \int dz f(z) \left[g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z) \right] + \\ & + \delta_{4+n_+, n_-} \mu U_3(f, g, h) + b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) + d_2^{\text{tr}} M_2(f, g, h), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_3(f, g, h) = & (-1)^{n-\varepsilon(f)} \bar{f} U_2(g, h) - (-1)^{n-\varepsilon(g)} \sigma(f, g) \bar{g} U_2(f, h) + \\ & + (-1)^{n-\varepsilon(h)} \sigma(f, h) \sigma(g, h) \bar{h} U_2(f, g), \\ U_2(f, g) = & \int dz \left[z^A \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z^A} g(z) - \sigma(f, g) z^A \frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z^A} f(z) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us discuss the nontriviality and independence of these cocycles. Consider two cases $n_+ \neq n_-$ and $n_+ = n_-$ separately.

3.3.3.1 The case $n_+ \neq n_-$

The first four terms in (3.16) are independent nontrivial cocycles. Indeed, let us suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} c\bar{f}\bar{g}\bar{h} + a \int dz f(z) \left[g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z) \right] + \\ + b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) + \delta_{4+n_+, n_-} \mu U_3(f, g, h) = \\ = d_2^{\text{tr}} M_2(f, g, h) = M_2(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h) M_2(\{f, h\}, g) - M_2(f, \{g, h\}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Let the supports of all three functions in (3.17) do not intersect. Then (3.17) is reduced to

$$c\bar{f}\bar{g}\bar{h} = 0 \implies c = 0 \implies$$

$$\begin{aligned} a \int dz f(z) \left[g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z) \right] + b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) + \\ + \delta_{4+n_+, n_-} \mu U_3(f, g, h) = \\ = d_2^{\text{tr}} M_2(f, g, h) = M_2(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h) M_2(\{f, h\}, g) - M_2(f, \{g, h\}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

If the functions in (3.18) are chosen in such a way that

$$\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(h) = \text{supp}(g) \cap \text{supp}(h) = \emptyset,$$

then (3.18) gives

$$\delta_{4+n_+, n_-} \mu (-1)^{n-\varepsilon(h)} \sigma(f, h) \sigma(g, h) \bar{h} U_2(f, g) = \hat{M}_2(\{f, g\}, h),$$

or, by varying w.r.t. $h(v)$ and taking into account that n_- is even:

$$\mu \int dz \left[z^A \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z^A} g(z) - \sigma(f, g) z^A \frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z^A} f(z) \right] = \int dz \hat{m}_2(z, v) \{f(z), g(z)\}, \quad n_- = n_+ + 4.$$

Varying this equation w.r.t. $g(z)$, we have

$$2\mu z^A \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z^A} - 4\mu f(z) = \{\hat{m}_2(z, v), f(z)\}.$$

Considering the coefficient at $f(z)$ in this equation we obtain

$$\mu = 0 \implies$$

$$\begin{aligned} a \int dz f(z) \left[g(z) \left(\overleftarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^A}} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z) \right] + b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) = \\ = M_2(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h) M_2(\{f, h\}, g) - M_2(f, \{g, h\}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The equation (3.19) is analyzed in the Appendix 7, where it is shown that it has the solutions only if $a = b = 0$. Thus the equation (3.17) has the solution only if $c = \mu = a = b = 0$.

3.3.3.2 The case $\mathbf{n}_+ = \mathbf{n}_-$

In this case, the term $b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z)$ is a trivial cocycle (see Appendix 7). Thus, only the first three terms are nontrivial and independent. The proof is completely analogous to the case $n_+ \neq n_-$.

4 Cohomologies in the adjoint representation

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We assume that the forms under consideration take values in the space $\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is one of the spaces $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, $\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, or $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$.

4.1 H_{ad}^0

The cohomology equation for the form $M_0(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$0 = d_0^{\text{ad}} M_0(z|f) = -\{M_0(z), f(z)\} \implies M_0(z) = m_0 = \text{const.}$$

If $M_0 \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, then we have $m_0 = 0$.

4.2 H_{ad}^1

For the form $M_1(z|f) = \int du m_1(z|u) f(u) \in \mathcal{A}$, the cohomology equation has the form

$$d_1^{\text{ad}} M_1(z|f, g) = -\sigma(f, g) \{M_1(z|g), f(z)\} + \{M_1(z|f), g(z)\} - M_1(z|\{f, g\}) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Suppose that $z \cap \text{supp}(f) = z \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ (here and subsequently, $z \cap \dots$ and $z \cup \dots$ mean $\{x\} \cap \dots$ and $\{x\} \cup \dots$ respectively). Then we have $\hat{M}_1(z|\{f, g\}) = 0$ and, therefore, $\hat{m}_1(z|u) \overleftarrow{\partial} / \partial u^A = 0$. This equation is analogous to (3.3), and we have

$$m_1(z|u) = m_1(z) + \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(B)q}(z) (\partial_B^z)^q \delta(z-u), \quad m_1(z) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence it follows that

$$M_1(z|f) = m_1(z) \bar{f} + \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(B)q}(z) (\partial_B^z)^q f(z)$$

(sign factor, which does not depend on f , is omitted).

Let $z \cap \text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$. Then $\{f(z), m_1(z)\} \bar{g} = 0$. This means that $m_1(z) = m_1 = \text{const}$, $\varepsilon(m_1) = n_- + \varepsilon(M_1)$. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^n$, then $m_1 = 0$. We thus have

$$M_1(z|f) = m_1 \bar{f} + \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(B)q}(z) (\partial_B^z)^q f(z), \quad \varepsilon(t^{(B)q}) = \varepsilon(M_1) + |\varepsilon_B|_{1,q}.$$

To find the local part

$$\sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(B)q}(z) (\partial_B^z)^q f(z)$$

of M_1 , which in its turn satisfies (4.1), we set $f = \exp(z^A p_A)$ and $g = \exp(z^A k_A)$ in some neighbourhood of z . Then the cohomology equation for this local part takes the form

$$[F(z|p) + F(z|k) - F(z|p+k)] [p, k] + \{F(z|p), zk\} - \{F(z|k), zp\} = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$F(z|p) = \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(B)q}(z) (p_B)^q,$$

$[p, k] = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} p_A \omega^{AB} k_B = -[k, p]$. Consider the terms of the highest degree w.r.t p and k in this equation:

$$[F_Q(z|p) + F_Q(z|k) - F_Q(z|p+k)] [p, k] = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where $F_Q(z|p) = t^{(B)Q}(z) (p_B)^Q$. It follows from Eq. (4.3) that $F_Q(z|p) = 0$, $Q \geq 2$ or $F(z|p) = t^0(z) + t^B(z) p_B$. Further, Eq. (4.2) gives $t^0(z) [p, k] + \{F(z|p), zk\} - \{F(z|k), zp\} = 0$, or $t^0(z) \overleftarrow{\partial} / \partial z^A = 0$, $t^A(z) \overleftarrow{D}_z^B - \sigma(A, B) t^B(z) \overleftarrow{D}_z^A = -t^0 \omega^{AB}$, which implies $t^0(z) = t^0 = \text{const}$, $\varepsilon(t^0) = \varepsilon(M_1)$, and $t^A(z) = -\frac{1}{2} t_0 z^A - t(z) \overleftarrow{D}_z^A$, $\varepsilon(t(z)) = \varepsilon(M_1)$.

Thus, the general solution of the cohomology equation (4.1) is

$$M_1(z|f) = m_1 \bar{f} + t^0 \mathcal{E}_z f(z) - \{t(z), f(z)\}.$$

The first two terms in this expression are independent nontrivial cocycles. Indeed, assume that

$$m_1 \bar{f} + t^0 \mathcal{E}_z f(z) = d_0^{\text{ad}} q(z|f) = -\{q(z), f(z)\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Let $z \cap \text{supp}(f) = \emptyset$. Then (4.4) gives $m_1 \bar{f} = 0$. So $m_1 = 0$ and

$$t^0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial z^A} \right) f(z) = -\{q(z), f(z)\}.$$

Considering the coefficient at $f(z)$ in this relation, we obtain $t^0 f(z) = 0$ or $t^0 = 0$, *i.e.*, the relation (4.4) is satisfied only if $m_1 = t^0 = 0$. Evidently, if $M_1(z|f) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ for any f , then $m_1 = 0$.

Let us discuss the term $-\{t(z), f(z)\}$. Is this expression a coboundary or not? The answer depends on the functional class $\mathcal{A}$ in which the considered multilinear forms take their values:

$\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. In this case $t(z) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ and the form $-\{t(z), f(z)\}$ is exact.

$\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. In this case $t(z) \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ and the form $-\{t(z), f(z)\}$ is exact.

$\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. In this case the condition $\{t(z), f(z)\} \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ gives the restriction $t(z) \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ only, and the form $-\{t(z), f(z)\}$ is exact if and only if $t(z) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. So the forms $-\{t(z), f(z)\}$ are independent nontrivial cocycles parameterized by the elements of quotient space $\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-} / \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$.

4.3 H_{ad}^2

For the bilinear form

$$M_2(z|f, g) = \int dv du m_2(z|u, v) f(u) g(v) \in \mathcal{A},$$

the cohomology equation has the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_2^{\text{ad}} M_2(z|f, g, h) = \\ &= \sigma(g, h) \{M_2(z|f, h), g(z)\} - \{M_2(z|f, g), h(z)\} - \sigma(f, gh) \{M_2(z|g, h), f(z)\} - \\ &\quad - M_2(z|\{f, g\}, h) + \sigma(g, h) M_2(z|\{f, h\}, g) + M_2(z|f, \{g, h\}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

To solve Eq. (4.5), we use the following sequence of propositions.

Proposition 4.1. $M_2(z|f, g) = M_{2|1}(z|f, g) + M_{2|2}^1(z|f, g) + M_{2|2}^2(z|f, g)$, where

$$M_{2|1}(z|f, g) = c \bar{f} \bar{g}, \quad \varepsilon(c) = \varepsilon(M_2), \quad d_2^{\text{ad}} M_{2|1}(z|f, g, h) = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

$$M_{2|2}^1(z|f, g) = \sum_{q=0}^Q m^{1(A)_q}(z|[(\partial_A^z)^q f(z)]g - \sigma(f, g)[(\partial_A^z)^q g(z)]f), \quad (4.7)$$

$$M_{2|2}^2(z|f, g) = \sum_{q=0}^Q m^{2(A)_q}(z|[(\partial_A)^q f]g - \sigma(f, g)(\partial_A)^q g]f), \quad (4.8)$$

and the parities of the linear forms $m^{1,2(A)_q}(z|\cdot)$ are given by

$$\varepsilon(m^{1,2(A)_q}) = \varepsilon(M_2) + |\varepsilon_A|_{1,q} + n_-. \quad (4.9)$$

Due to antisymmetry of bilinear form the constant c can be nonzero only if n_- is odd.

To prove this proposition, it suffices to consider equation (4.5) in the following two domains:

$$z \cap \left[\text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g) \cup \text{supp}(h) \right] = \text{supp}(f) \cap \left[\text{supp}(g) \cup \text{supp}(h) \right] = \emptyset$$

and

$$z \cap \left[\text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g) \right] = \text{supp}(f) \cap \left[\text{supp}(g) \cup \text{supp}(h) \right] = \text{supp}(g) \cap \text{supp}(h) = \emptyset.$$

Proposition 4.2. *If $n_+ \geq 4$ then*

$$M_{2|2}^2(z|f, g) = \delta_{n_-, 4+n_+} \mu(z) \int du (f(u) \mathcal{E}_u g(u) - \sigma(f, g) g(u) \mathcal{E}_u f(u)) + d_1^{\text{ad}} \zeta(z|f, g) + \dots,$$

where the dots stand for the terms which can be included into $M_{2|2}^1$.

To prove this proposition, consider Eq. (4.5) in the domain

$$z \cap \left[\text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g) \cup \text{supp}(h) \right] = \emptyset,$$

where $M_{2|2}^1(z|f, g)$ is identically zero. Using the results of the subsection 3.2, we obtain

$$\hat{M}_{2|2}^2(z|f, g) = \int du \hat{m}^{2A}(z|u) \left(\frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial u^A} g(u) - \sigma(f, g) \frac{\partial g(u)}{\partial u^A} f(u) \right)$$

and the vector $\hat{m}^{2A}(z|u)$ satisfies the equation

$$2\hat{m}^{2A}(z|u) \overleftarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^C}} \omega^{CB} - 2\sigma(A, B) \hat{m}^{2B}(z|u) \overleftarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^C}} \omega^{CA} - \mu(z) \omega^{AB} = 0,$$

and $\mu(z) = -m^{2A}(z|u) \overleftarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial u^A}} (-1)^{\varepsilon_A}$, $\varepsilon(\mu) = \varepsilon(M_2) + n_-$, does not depend on u . It follows from this equation that $(4 + n_+ - n_-) \mu(z) = 0$. Now we consider 2 cases:

i) $\mu(z) = 0$. Since $n_+ \geq 4$, the Poincare lemma implies that $m^{2A}(z|u) = -\frac{1}{2} \zeta(z|u) \overleftarrow{D}_z^A$ plus terms with support on the plane $z = u$. We assign these terms to $M_{2|2}^1$.

ii) $4 + n_+ - n_- = 0$. In this case, we have $m^{2A}(z|u) = -\frac{1}{4} \mu(z) u^A - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(z|u) \overleftarrow{D}_z^A$ plus terms with support on the plane $z = u$. We assign these terms to $M_{2|2}^1$.

Proposition 4.3. *If $n_+ \geq 4$, then the kernels of the linear forms $m^{1(A)q}(z|\cdot)$ from Proposition 4.1 have the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}^{1(A)0}(z|u) &= a(u); \quad \hat{m}^{1(A)q}(z|u) = 0 \text{ for } q \geq 2, \\ m^{1A}(z|u) &= -\frac{1}{2} a(u) z^A + m^1(z|u) \overleftarrow{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^C}} \omega^{CA} + m_{\text{loc}}^1, \quad \varepsilon(m^1) = \varepsilon(M_2) + n_-, \end{aligned}$$

where $a(u)$ and $m^1(z|u)$ are some generalized functions and m_{loc}^1 is a generalized function with support in the plane $z = u$.

To prove this proposition, it suffices to consider equation (4.5) in the domain

$$\left[z \bigcup \text{supp}(f) \bigcup \text{supp}(g) \right] \cap \text{supp}(h) = \emptyset$$

and note that this equation can be solved in the same way as (4.2) if the variable u is viewed as an external parameter.

Proposition 4.4. $\mu(z)$ from Proposition 4.2 and $a(u)$ and $m^1(z, u)$ from Proposition 4.3 have the form $a(u) = a = \text{const}$, $\mu(z) = \mu = \text{const}$, and

$$m^1(z|u) = \sum_{q=0} (\partial_C^z)^q \delta(z-u) t^{(C)q}(u) + b(z) + c(u).$$

Let $[z \bigcup \text{supp}(h)] \cap [\text{supp}(f) \bigcup \text{supp}(g)] = \emptyset$. Choosing $h(u) = \text{const}$ in a neighborhood of z , we obtain $a(u) = \text{const}$. Choosing $f(u) = \text{const}$ in a neighborhood of $\text{supp}(g)$, we find that $\mu(z) = \text{const}$. We therefore have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^A} \hat{m}^1(z|u) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial u^B} = 0,$$

and Proposition 4.4 is proved.

Substituting the expression for $m^1(z|u)$ in the expression for $M_{2|2}^1$, we find that the contribution of $c(u)$ is cancelled and $b(z)$ gives a contribution only to pure differential.

The results of Propositions 4.1 - 4.4 are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *If $n_+ \geq 4$, then the general solution of equation (4.5) has the form*

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(z|f, g) &= c \bar{f} \bar{g} + a \int du [\mathcal{E}_z f(z) g(u) - \sigma(f, g) \mathcal{E}_z g(z) f(u)] + \\ &+ \delta_{n_-, 4+n_+} \mu \int du (f(u) \mathcal{E}_u g(u) - \sigma(f, g) g(u) \mathcal{E}_u f(u)) + d_1^{\text{ad}} \zeta^2(z|f, g) + M_{2|\text{loc}}(z|f, g), \\ \varepsilon(a) &= \varepsilon(M_2) + n_-, \quad \varepsilon(\mu) = \varepsilon(M_2) + n_-, \end{aligned}$$

where c , a , and μ are some constants and $c = 0$ for even n_- .

The local bilinear form $M_{2|\text{loc}}(z|f, g)$ has the form

$$M_{2|\text{loc}}(z|f, g) = \sum_{p,q=0}^N (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)|\varepsilon_B|_{1,q}} m^{(A)_p|(B)_q}(z) [(\partial_A^z)^p f(z)] (\partial_B^z)^q g(z), \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m^{(B)_q|(A)_p} &= -(-1)^{|\varepsilon_A|_{1,p}|\varepsilon_B|_{1,q}} m^{(A)_p|(B)_q}, \quad m^{(A)_0|(B)_0} = 0, \\ \varepsilon(m^{(A)_p|(B)_q}) &= \varepsilon(M_2) + |\varepsilon_A|_{1,p} + |\varepsilon_B|_{1,q}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

and satisfies the equation

$$d_2^{\text{ad}} M_{2|\text{loc}}(z|f, g, h) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

To solve equation (4.12), we use the method of the work [5]. Let $x \in U$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+}$ is some bounded domain. For the functions of the form

$$f(z) = e^{z^A k_A} f_0(z), \quad g(z) = e^{z^A l_A} g_0(z), \quad h(z) = e^{z^A r_A} h_0(z), \quad \varepsilon(k_A) = \varepsilon(l_A) = \varepsilon(r_A) = \varepsilon_A,$$

where k, l , and r are new supervariables and $f_0(z) = g_0(z) = h_0(z) = 1$ for $x \in U$ ($z = (x, \xi)$), equation (4.12) assumes the form

$$[k, l]\Psi(z|k, l, r) + \{\psi(z|k, l), zr\} + \text{cycle}(k, l, r) = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\Psi(z|k, l, r) = \psi(z|k + l, r) - \psi(z|k, r) - \psi(z|l, r), \quad (4.14)$$

$$\psi(z|k, l) = \sum_{p,q=0}^N m(z)^{(A)_p|(B)_q} (k_A)^p (l_B)^q = -\psi(z|l, k), \quad (4.15)$$

and the notation $[k, l] = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} k_A \omega^{AB} l_B$ introduced in the preceding subsection has been used. By the antisymmetry property, we have $\psi(z|0, 0) = 0$. We note that

$$\{f(z), z^A p_A\} = f(z) \overleftarrow{D}^A p_A. \quad (4.16)$$

It is useful to write down the expression for the local form, which is a coboundary (the differential of the 1-form with the kernel $t^{(A)_p}(z) \partial_z^P \delta(z - u)$):

$$M_{2|\text{triv}}(z|k, l) = \{t(z|k), zl\} - \{t(z|l), zk\} - T(z|k, l)[k, l], \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$T(z|k, l) = t(z|k + l) - t(z|k) - t(z|l) \quad \text{and} \quad t(z|k) = \sum_{p=0}^K t^{(A)_p}(z) (k_A)^p.$$

We introduce the lowest degree filtrations $\mathcal{P}_p$ and $\mathcal{P}_{pq}$ of polynomials.

Definition.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_p &= \{f(k) \in \mathbb{K}[k_1, \dots, k_{n_++n_-}] : \\ &\quad \exists g \in \mathbb{K}[\alpha, k_1, \dots, k_{n_++n_-}] \quad f(\alpha k) = \alpha^p g(\alpha, k)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{p,q} &= \{f(k, l) \in \mathbb{K}[k_1, \dots, k_{n_++n_-}; l_1, \dots, l_{n_++n_-}] : \\ &\quad \exists g \in \mathbb{K}[\alpha, \beta, k_1, \dots, k_{n_++n_-}, l_1, \dots, l_{n_++n_-}] \quad f(\alpha k, \beta l) = \alpha^p \beta^q g(\alpha, \beta, k, l)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

We obviously have $\mathcal{P}_{p,q} \subset \mathcal{P}_{r,s}$ for $p \geq r$ and $q \geq s$. It is also clear that $fg \in \mathcal{P}_{p+r, q+s}$ for $f \in \mathcal{P}_{p,q}$ and $g \in \mathcal{P}_{r,s}$. Analogous relations are valid for $\mathcal{P}_p$.

To simplify equation (4.13), we represent the polynomial $\psi(z|k, l)$ (4.15) in the form

$$\psi(z|k, l) = t_0(z|k) - t_0(z|l) + t_{11}^{AB}(z) k_A l_B + t_1^A(z|k) l_A - t_1^A(z|l) k_A + \varphi(z|k, l), \quad (4.20)$$

where $t_0(z|k) \in \mathcal{P}_1$, $t_1^A(z|k) \in \mathcal{P}_2$, and $\varphi(z|k, l) \in \mathcal{P}_{2,2}$. We have the following propositions:

Proposition 4.5. $t_0(z|k) - t_0(z|l) = dt(z|k, l) + [k, l]t(z)$, where $t(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, and the linear form $t(z|f)$ is given by $t(z|f) = -t(z)f(z)$.

Setting $r = 0$ in equation (4.13), we obtain $[k, l](t_0(z|k + l) - t_0(z|k) - t_0(z|l)) - \{t_0(z|k), z^A l_A\} + \{t_0(z|l), z^A k_A\} = 0$. This equation coincides with equation (4.2) solved

in the preceding section and its solution is $t_0(z|k) = \alpha(1 - (1/2)z^A k_A) + \{t(z), z^A k_A\}$. It follows from the condition $t_0(z|0) = 0$ that $\alpha = 0$, which implies the required statement.

Proposition 4.6. *If $n_+ \geq 4$, then the term $t_0(z|k) - t_0(z|l) + t_{11}^{AB}(z)k_A l_B$ in (4.20) is a coboundary.*

By Proposition 4.5, we can write $t_0(z|k) - t_0(z|l) + t_{11}^{AB}(z)k_A l_B = dt(z|k, l) + \tilde{t}_{11}^{AB}(z)k_A l_B$. The terms in (4.13) linear in k , l , and r yield

$$\sigma(C, A)\tilde{t}_{11}^{AB}(z)\overleftarrow{D}^C + \sigma(A, B)\tilde{t}_{11}^{BC}(z)\overleftarrow{D}^A + \sigma(B, C)\tilde{t}_{11}^{CA}(z)\overleftarrow{D}^B = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

In view of the antisymmetry property $\tilde{t}_{11}^{AB}(z) = -\sigma(A, B)\tilde{t}_{11}^{BA}(z)$ this equation implies for $n_+ \geq 4$ that $\sigma(A, B)\tilde{t}_{11}^{AB}(z) = p^A(z)\overleftarrow{D}^B - p^B(z)\overleftarrow{D}^A$ with some vector-function $p^A(z)$. We thus obtain $\tilde{t}_{11}^{AB}(z)k_A l_B = \{p(z|k), zl\} - \{p(z|l), zk\}$, where $p(z|k) = p^A(z)k_A$. This coincides with (4.17).

Proposition 4.7. *Up to a coboundary, $t_1^A(z|k)l_A - t_1^A(z|l)k_A \in \mathcal{P}_{2,2}$.*

By Propositions 4.5 and 4.6, we can assume $t_0(z|k) - t_0(z|l) + t_{11}^{AB}(z)k_A l_B = 0$. The terms in (4.13) linear in l and r give the equation $t_1^A(z|k)\overleftarrow{D}^B - \sigma(A, B)t_1^B(z|k)\overleftarrow{D}^A = 0$ which implies that $t_1^A(z|k) = t(z|k)\overleftarrow{D}^A$ and $t_1^A(z|k)l_A - t_1^A(z|l)k_A = \{t(z|k), zl\} - \{t(z|l), zk\}$. We therefore have $t_1^A(z|k)l_A - t_1^A(z|l)k_A = \{t(z|k), zl\} - \{t(z|l), zk\} - (t(z|k+l) - t(z|k) - t(z|l))[k, l] + (t(z|k+l) - t(z|k) - t(z|l))[k, l] = dt(z|k, l) + \varphi_t(z|k, l)$, where $\varphi_t(z|k, l) = (t(z|k+l) - t(z|k) - t(z|l))[k, l] \in \mathcal{P}_{2,2}$. Thus, up to coboundary, we have $\psi(z|k, l) \in \mathcal{P}_{2,2}$.

From now on, we assume that $\psi(z|k, l) \in \mathcal{P}_{2,2}$.

Proposition 4.8. *The polynomial $\psi(z|k, l)$, $\psi(z|k, l) \in \mathcal{P}_{2,2}$, does not depend on z .*

Indeed, the linear in r terms in equation (4.13) give $\{\psi(z|k, l), zr\} = 0$, which implies the statement of the proposition.

Equation (4.13) is reduced to

$$[k, l]\Psi(k, l, r) + [l, r]\Psi(l, r, k) + [r, k]\Psi(r, k, l) = 0, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\Psi(k, l, r) = \psi(k+l, r) - \psi(k, r) - \psi(l, r), \quad (4.23)$$

where

$$\psi(k, l) = \sum_{p,q=2}^N m^{(A)p|(B)q}(k_A)^p(l_B)^q = -\psi(l, k).$$

In the case when there are no odd variables ($n_- = 0$) and $n_+ \geq 4$, equation (4.22) was solved in [5] and its general solution has the form

$$\psi(k, l) = c([k, l])^3 + [k, l](\varphi(k+l) - \varphi(k) - \varphi(l)), \quad (4.24)$$

where c is an arbitrary constant and $\varphi(k)$ is an arbitrary polynomial satisfying the condition² $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_1$.

²This form of the general solution remains valid also for $n_+ = 2$, but this fact requires a separate proof. Such a proof is given in [9].

4.3.1 The solution of equation (4.22)

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n_+ \geq 4$, $n_- \geq 0$, and $\psi(p, q)$ be a polynomial with the property $\psi(p, q) = -\psi(q, p) \in \mathcal{P}_{2,2}$. Let*

$$\Psi(p, q, r) = \psi(p + q, r) - \psi(p, r) - \psi(q, r). \quad (4.25)$$

Then the general solution of the equation

$$[p, q]\Psi(p, q, r) + [q, r]\Psi(q, r, p) + [r, p]\Psi(r, p, q) = 0 \quad (4.26)$$

has the form

$$\psi(p, q) = c([p, q])^3 + [p, q](\varphi(p + q) - \varphi(p) - \varphi(q)), \quad (4.27)$$

where $[p, q] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} p_A \omega^{AB} q_B$, c is an arbitrary constant, and $\varphi(p)$ is an arbitrary polynomial satisfying the condition $\varphi(p) \in \mathcal{P}_1$.

The proof of this theorem can be obtained by induction on the number of odd variables n_- (see [9]). Here we present a proof similar to that given in [5] for the purely even case. This proof is based on the lemmas A2.1 and A2.2 of Appendix 2 and on the following two propositions.

Proposition 4.9. *If $n_+ \geq 4$, then the solution of equation (4.26) has the form*

$$\psi(p, q) = [p, q]g(p, q), \quad (4.28)$$

where g is a polynomial such that $g(p, q) = g(q, p) \in \mathcal{P}_{1,1}$.

Proof. By Lemma A2.2, it follows from (4.26) that

$$\psi(p + q, r) - \psi(p, r) - \psi(q, r) = [r, p]Q(p, q, r) + [q, r]P(p, q, r), \quad (4.29)$$

where P and Q are polynomials. We apply the operation $\partial_{q_A}|_{q=0}$ to (4.29). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{p_A}\psi(p, r) &= r^A P(p, 0, r) + [r, p]\partial_{q_A}Q(p, q, r)|_{q=0} = \\ &= \partial_{p_A}([p, r]P(p, 0, r)) - [p, r]\partial_{p_A}P(p, 0, r) + [r, p]\partial_{q_A}Q(p, q, r)|_{p=0}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where $r^A = (-1)^A \omega^{AB} r_B$. We hence obtain

$$\partial_{p_A}(\psi(p, r) + [p, r]P(p, 0, r)) = [p, r](-\partial_{p_A}P(p, 0, r) + \partial_{q_A}Q(p, q, r)|_{q=0}). \quad (4.31)$$

Equation (4.31) has the form $\partial_{p_A}F(p, r) = [p, r]G^A(p, r)$, which implies that $N_p F(p, r) = [p, r]p_A G^A(p, r)$, where $N_p = p_A \partial_{p_A}$ is the Euler operator with respect to p . Obviously, the solution of this equation has the form $F(p, r) = [p, r]H(p, r) + I(r)$, where H and I are some polynomials. We therefore have $\psi(p, r) = [p, r]g(p, r) + h(r)$ with some polynomials g and h . Because $\psi(0, r) = 0$, we have $h(r) = 0$ and hence $\psi(p, r) = [p, r]g(p, r)$.

Proposition 4.10. *The polynomial $g(p, q)$ from Proposition 4.9 has the form*

$$g(p, q) = \varphi(p + q) - \varphi(p) - \varphi(q) + F([p, q]^2), \quad (4.32)$$

where φ and F are some polynomials such that $\varphi, F \in \mathcal{P}_1$.

Proof. Substituting expression (4.28) in equation (4.26), we obtain

$$[p, q] ([q, r] - [r, p])W(p, q, r) = [r, p] ([p, q] - [q, r])W(q, r, p), \quad (4.33)$$

where $W(p, q, r) = g(p + q, r) - g(q + r, p) + g(p, q) - g(q, r)$. Using Lemma A2.1 twice, we find that

$$W(p, q, r) = [r, p] [r + p, q] U(p, q, r), \quad (4.34)$$

where U is a polynomial such that $U(p, q, r) = U(q, r, p)$. It follows from the property $W(r, q, p) = -W(p, q, r)$ that $U(r, q, p) = U(p, q, r)$, i.e., U is a symmetric polynomial. Applying the operator $\partial_{r_A}|_{r=0}$ to the relation

$$g(p + q, r) - g(q + r, p) + g(p, q) - g(q, r) = [r, p] [r + p, q] U(p, q, r), \quad (4.35)$$

we obtain $\varphi^A(p + q) - \partial_{q_A} g(p, q) - \varphi^A(q) = p^A [p, q] U(p, q, 0)$, where $\varphi^A(q) = \partial_{r_A} g(q, r)|_{r=0}$, and finally

$$\partial_{q_A} g(p, q) = \varphi^A(p + q) - \varphi^A(q) - p^A [p, q] U(p, q, 0). \quad (4.36)$$

It follows from the condition $\partial_{q_B} \partial_{q_A} - \sigma(A, B) \partial_{q_A} \partial_{q_B} = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{q_B} \varphi^A(p + q) - \partial_{q_B} \varphi^A(q) + \sigma(A, B) p^A p^B U(p, q, 0) - \sigma(A, B) p^A [p, q] \partial_{q_B} U(p, q, 0) = \\ = (\sigma(A, B) \partial_{q_A} \varphi^B(p + q) - \sigma(A, B) \partial_{q_A} \varphi^B(q) + \\ + p^B p^A U(p, q, 0) - p^B [p, q] \partial_{q_A} U(p, q, 0)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{q_B} (\varphi^A(p + q) - \varphi^A(q)) - \sigma(A, B) \partial_{q_A} (\varphi^B(p + q) - \varphi^B(q)) = \\ = [p, q] (\sigma(A, B) p^A \partial_{q_B} - p^B \partial_{q_A}) U(p, q, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Let $q = 0$. Then (4.38) gives $\partial_{p_B} \varphi^A(p) - \sigma(A, B) \partial_{p_A} \varphi^B(p) = C_{BA} - \sigma(A, B) C_{AB}$, where $C_{AB} = \partial_{p_A} \varphi^B(p)|_{p=0} = \partial_{p_A} \partial_{q_B} g(p, q)|_{p=q=0} = \sigma(A, B) C_{BA}$ because $g(p, q) = g(q, p)$. We thus obtain $\partial_{p_B} \varphi^A(p) - \sigma(A, B) \partial_{p_A} \varphi^B(p) = 0$ and $\varphi^A(p) = \partial_{p_A} \varphi(p)$, where φ is a polynomial. Equation (4.38) assumes the form

$$(p^A \partial_{q_B} - \sigma(A, B) p^B \partial_{q_A}) U(p, q, 0) = 0 \quad (4.39)$$

By Lemma A4.1, it follows from (4.39) that $\partial_{q_A} U(p, q, 0) = p^A V(p, q)$, where $V(p, q)$ is a polynomial, and in view of Lemma A3.1 we have

$$U(p, q, 0) = H([p, q]), \quad (4.40)$$

where H is a polynomial. Relation (4.36) now assumes the form $\partial_{q_A} g(p, q) = \partial_{q_A} \varphi(p + q) - \partial_{q_A} \varphi(q) - p^A [p, q] H([p, q])$. It hence follows that $g(p, q) = \varphi(p + q) - \varphi(q) - \varphi(p) - K([p, q]) + L(p)$ with some polynomials K and L . The condition $g(p, 0) = 0$ gives $L = 0$, and the condition $g(p, q) = g(q, p)$ gives $K = F([p, q]^2)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We have established that the solution of equation (4.26) has the form

$$\psi(p, q) = [p, q] F([p, q]^2) + \psi_1(p, q), \quad (4.41)$$

where

$$\psi_1(p, q) = [p, q] (\varphi(p + q) - \varphi(p) - \varphi(q)). \quad (4.42)$$

The polynomial ψ_1 satisfies equation (4.26) for an arbitrary polynomial $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_1$ and, therefore, $[p, q] F([p, q]^2)$ also satisfies this equation. Obviously, the homogeneous parts of the polynomial $\psi = [p, q] F([p, q]^2)$ of all powers in $[p, q]$ should satisfy equation (4.26). It is easy to verify that $\psi = [p, q]^{2n+1}$ satisfies (4.26) only if $n = 1$.

Thus, the general solution $\psi(k, l)$ of equation (4.22) is indeed of form (4.24) and the general solution of cohomology equation (4.5) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(z|f, g) &= c_1 f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 g(z) + c_2 \bar{f} \bar{g} + c_3 [\mathcal{E}_z f(z) \bar{g} - \sigma(f, g) \mathcal{E}_z g(z) \bar{f}] + \\ &+ \delta_{n-, 4+n+} c_4 \int du (f(u) \mathcal{E}_u g(u) - \sigma(f, g) g(u) \mathcal{E}_u f(u)) + d_1^{\text{ad}} \zeta(z|f, g). \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

It is easy to verify that $c_2 = c_4 = 0$ if $M_2 \in \mathbf{D}_{n+}^{n-}$.

4.3.2 Independence and nontriviality

The first four terms in expression (4.43) are independent nontrivial cocycles. Indeed, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 g(z) + c_2 \bar{f} \bar{g} + c_3 [\mathcal{E}_z f(z) \bar{g} - \sigma(f, g) \mathcal{E}_z g(z) \bar{f}] + \\ + \delta_{n-, 4+n+} c_4 \int du (f(u) \mathcal{E}_u g(u) - \sigma(f, g) g(u) \mathcal{E}_u f(u)) = \\ = d_1^{\text{ad}} \zeta(z|f, g) = -\sigma(f, g) \{ \zeta(z|g), f(z) \} + \{ \zeta(z|f), g(z) \} - \zeta(z|\{f, g\}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Let the supports of the functions f and g satisfy the condition $z \cap \text{supp}(f) = z \cap \text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$. Then we have $c_2 \bar{f} \bar{g} = 0 \implies c_2 = 0$. Further, if $z \cap \text{supp}(g) = \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$, then we have $c_3 \mathcal{E}_z f(z) \bar{g} = -\sigma(f, g) \{ \zeta(z|g), f(z) \}$. This implies that $c_3 = 0$ and $\hat{\zeta}(z|u) = a(u)$. Let $z \cap \text{supp}(f) = z \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$. In this case, we obtain

$$\delta_{n-, 4+n+} c_4 \int du (f(u) \mathcal{E}_u g(u) - \sigma(f, g) g(u) \mathcal{E}_u f(u)) = -\zeta(z|\{f, g\}).$$

Varying this equation with respect to $g(u)$, we find that

$$\delta_{n-, 4+n+} c_4 \left(2u^A \frac{\partial f(u)}{\partial u^A} - 4f(u) \right) = \{ \zeta(z|u), f(u) \},$$

which implies that $c_4 = 0$ and

$$\zeta(z|f) = a\bar{f} + \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(D)q}(z)(\partial_D^z)^q f(z).$$

Equation (4.44) thus assumes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sigma(f, g) \left\{ \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(D)q}(z)(\partial_D^z)^q g(z), f(z) \right\} + \left\{ \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(D)q}(z)(\partial_D^z)^q f(z), g(z) \right\} - \\ & - \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(D)q}(z)(\partial_D^z)^q \{f(z), g(z)\} = c_1 f(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 g(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

We substitute the functions f and g of the form

$$f(z) = e^{z^A p_A} \varphi(x), \quad g(z) = e^{z^A r_A} \phi(x)$$

in equation (4.45), where $\varphi(x) = \phi(x) = 1$ for x belonging to some bounded domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+}$ ($z = (x, \xi)$). In this domain, equation (4.45) gives

$$\{T(z|p), zr\} - \{T(z|r), zp\} + (T(z|p+r) - T(z|p) - T(z|r))[p, r] = -c_1 [p, r]^3, \quad (4.46)$$

where

$$T(z|p) = \sum_{q=0}^Q t^{(D)q}(z)(p_D)^q.$$

Applying the operator $\overleftarrow{\partial}/\partial r_A \Big|_{r=0}$ to this equation yields

$$T(z|p) \overleftarrow{D}^A = \tau^{AB}(z) p_B,$$

where

$$\tau^{AB}(z) = -T(z|0)(-1)^A \omega^{AB} + T(z|r) \overleftarrow{\partial}/\partial r_A \Big|_{r=0} \overleftarrow{D}^B \quad \text{and} \quad \overleftarrow{D}^A = \overleftarrow{\partial}/\partial z^B \omega^{BA}.$$

As a consequence, we have $T(z|p) = \tau^A(z) p_A + T(p)$. Substituting this expression in (4.46), we see that the terms belonging to $\mathcal{P}_6$ give the equation $(T(p+r) - T(p) - T(r))[p, r] = -c_1 [p, r]^3$ or $T(p+r) - T(p) - T(r) = -c_1 [p, r]^2$. Applying the operator $\overleftarrow{\partial}/\partial r_A \Big|_{r=0}$ to this equation yields $\partial T(p)/\partial p_A = f^A$, where $f^A = \partial T(r)/\partial r_A \Big|_{r=0}$, which implies that $T(p) = F(0) + T^A p_A$ and, therefore, $c_1 = 0$.

Thus, equation (4.44) has a solution only if $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$.

4.3.3 Exactness of the form $d_1^{\text{ad}}\zeta(z|f, g)$

We now discuss the term $d_1^{\text{ad}}\zeta(z|f, g)$ in expression (4.43):

$$d_1^{\text{ad}}\zeta(z|f, g) = \{\zeta(z|f), g(z)\} - \sigma(f, g)\{\zeta(z|g), f(z)\} - \zeta(z|\{f, g\}).$$

This form is a coboundary if $M_2(z|f, g)$ and $\zeta(z|g)$ belong to the space $\mathcal{A}$.

1) $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. In this case, $\zeta(z|g) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ and the form $d_1^{\text{ad}}\zeta(z|f, g)$ is exact (trivial cocycle).

2) $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. In this case, $\zeta(z|g) \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ and the form $d_1^{\text{ad}}\zeta(z|f, g)$ is exact (trivial cocycle).

3) $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. In this case, the condition $d_1^{\text{ad}}\zeta(z|f, g) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ gives (see Appendix 6)

$$\zeta(z|f) = \zeta_D(z|f) + \zeta(z)\bar{f}, \quad \zeta_D(z|f) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}, \quad \zeta(z) \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}. \quad (4.47)$$

The bilinear form $d_1^{\text{ad}}\zeta(z|f, g)$ can therefore be represented in the form $d_1^{\text{ad}}\zeta(z|f, g) = M_{2|D}(z|f, g) + M_{2|C}(z|f, g)$, where $M_{2|D}(z|f, g) = d_1^{\text{ad}}\zeta_D(z|f, g)$ is an exact form and $M_{2|C}(z|f, g) = \{f(z), \zeta(z)\}\bar{g} - \sigma(f, g)\{\zeta(z), g(z)\}\bar{f}$ is a nontrivial cocycle parameterized by the function classes in $\mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}/\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$.

Appendix 1

The space $\mathbb{G}^{n_1-} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{G}^{n_k-}$ is naturally identified with $\mathbb{G}^{n_1-+\dots+n_k-}$ by setting

$$(g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_k)(\xi) = g_1(\xi_1) \dots g_k(\xi_k), \quad g_j \in \mathbb{G}^{n_j-}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Analogously, for $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_j})$ and $f_j \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_j-}$, we set

$$(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_k)(x) = \varphi_1(x_1) \dots \varphi_k(x_k), \quad (f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_k)(z) = f_1(z_1) \dots f_k(z_k).$$

For $g \in \mathbb{G}^{n-}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_+})$, the element $\varphi \otimes g$ of the space $\mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n-}$ will be denoted by φg .

Lemma A1.1. (kernel theorem). *For every separately continuous multilinear form M on $\mathbf{D}_{n_1+}^{n_1-} \times \dots \times \mathbf{D}_{n_{k+}}^{n_{k-}}$, there is a unique generalized function $m \in \mathbf{D}_{n_1+\dots+n_{k+}}^{n_1-+\dots+n_{k-}}$ such that*

$$M(f_1, \dots, f_k) = \int dz_k \dots dz_1 m(z_1, \dots, z_k) f_1(z_1) \dots f_k(z_k) \quad (\text{A1.1})$$

for any $f_1 \in \mathbf{D}_{n_1+}^{n_1-}, \dots, f_k \in \mathbf{D}_{n_{k+}}^{n_{k-}}$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $k = 2$. The uniqueness of m follows from the density of $\mathbf{D}_{n_1+}^{n_1-} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{n_2+}^{n_2-}$ in $\mathbf{D}_{n_1+n_2+}^{n_1-+n_2-}$ which is ensured by the density of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2+})$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2+})$. We now prove the existence of m . For every pair $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+})$, $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2+})$, we define the continuous bilinear form M_{φ_1, φ_2} on $\mathbb{G}^{n_1-} \times \mathbb{G}^{n_2-}$ by the relation

$$M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(g_1, g_2) = M(\varphi_1 g_1, \varphi_2 g_2), \quad g_{1,2} \in \mathbb{G}^{n_1, 2-}.$$

This form uniquely determines a linear functional m_{φ_1, φ_2} on $\mathbb{G}^{n_1-+n_2-}$ such that $m_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(g_1 \otimes g_2) = M_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(g_1, g_2)$. Clearly, the mapping $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \rightarrow m_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(g)$ from $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+}) \times \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2+})$ to $\mathbb{K}$ is bilinear and separately continuous for any $g \in \mathbb{G}^{n_1-+n_2-}$ and by the standard kernel theorem for generalized functions (see, e.g., [13], Theorem 5.2.1), there is a unique generalized function $\mu_g \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+} + \mathbb{R}^{n_2+})$ such that $\mu_g(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = m_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}(g)$ for any $\varphi_{1,2} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1, 2+})$. The

density of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1+}}) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{2+}})$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1+}+n_{2+}})$ implies that the mapping $g \rightarrow \mu_g(\varphi)$ is linear in g for any fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1+}+n_{2+}})$. Hence, there is a unique $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1+}+n_{2+}}) \otimes \mathbb{G}^{n_{1-}+n_{2-}}$ such that $\mu(\varphi g) = \mu_g(\varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_{1+}+n_{2+}})$ and $g \in \mathbb{G}^{n_{1-}+n_{2-}}$. For any $f_1 \in \mathbf{D}_{n_{1+}}^{n_{1-}}$ and $f_2 \in \mathbf{D}_{n_{2+}}^{n_{2-}}$, we have $\mu(f_1 \otimes f_2) = M(f_1, f_2)$ and, therefore, $m = L^{-1}\mu$ satisfies (A1.1) (here, L is the natural isomorphism between $\mathbf{D}_{n_{+}}^{n_{-}}$ and the space of continuous linear functionals on $\mathbf{D}_{n_{+}}^{n_{-}}$).

Appendix 2

In this appendix, we derive Lemmas A2.1 and A2.2 which are used in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Perhaps the results of this appendix follow from some general theory of rings of polynomials in even and odd variables, but such results are unknown to the authors. We formulate the lemmas with the minimal generality necessary for our purposes.

Let p , q , and r be three sets of supervariables with n_{+} even and n_{-} odd variables each. As above, the notation $[p, q]$ in this appendix means $[p, q] = (-1)^A \omega^{AB} p_A q_B$.

Lemma A2.1. *Let $n_{+} \geq 2$ and $n_{-} \geq 0$. If $F(p, q, r)$ and $G(p, q, r)$ are polynomials in p , q , and r then the relation*

$$[p, q]F + [q, r]G = 0 \quad (\text{A2.1})$$

is satisfied if and only if there exists a polynomial $f(p, q, r)$ such that

$$F = [q, r]f(p, q, r), \quad G = -[p, q]f(p, q, r). \quad (\text{A2.2})$$

Proof. First we note that expression (A2.2) satisfies equation (A2.1) because the polynomials $[p, q]$ and $[q, r]$ are even. Secondly, it follows from Lemma A2.3 that Lemma A2.1 is valid for $n_{-} = 0$.

Suppose Lemma A2.1 is valid for $n_{-} = N - 1$. Let P , Q , and R be supervariables with n_{+} even and N odd components: $P = (p, \mu_1)$, $Q = (q, \mu_2)$, $R = (r, \mu_3)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(P, Q, R) &= F_0(p, q, r) + \mu_i F_i(p, q, r) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_i \mu_j F_{ij}(p, q, r) + \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 F_{123}(p, q, r), \\ G(P, Q, R) &= G_0(p, q, r) + \mu_i G_i(p, q, r) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_i \mu_j G_{ij}(p, q, r) + \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 G_{123}(p, q, r), \\ [P, Q] &= [p, q] + \lambda_N \mu_1 \mu_2, \quad [Q, R] = [q, r] + \lambda_N \mu_2 \mu_3 \end{aligned}$$

(here, $\lambda_N = \pm 1$ is the parameter of the form ω^{AB}). By the induction hypothesis, equation (A2.1) has the following solution:

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= [q, r]f_0, \quad G_0 = -[p, q]f_0 \\ F_i &= [q, r]f_i, \quad G_i = -[p, q]f_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ F_{12} &= -F_{21} = [q, r]f_{12}, \quad G_{12} = -G_{21} = -[p, q]f_{12} - f_0, \\ F_{23} &= -F_{32} = [q, r]f_{23} - f_0, \quad G_{23} = -G_{32} = -[p, q]f_{23}, \\ F_{31} &= -F_{13} = [q, r]f_{31}, \quad G_{31} = -G_{13} = -[p, q]f_{31}, \\ F_{123} &= [q, r]f_{123} + f_1, \quad G_{123} = -[p, q]f_{123} - f_3, \end{aligned}$$

where all functions f_I (I is an arbitrary index: 0, i , and so on) are polynomials in p , q , and r . Introducing the polynomial $f(P, Q, R) = f_0 + \mu_i f_i + 1/2 \mu_i \mu_j f_{ij} + \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 f_{123}$, we can rewrite the obtained solution in the form $F = [Q, R]f$, $G = -[P, Q]f$.

Lemma A2.2. *Let $n_+ \geq 4$ and $n_- \geq 0$. If $F(p, q, r)$, $G(p, q, r)$, and $H(p, q, r)$ are polynomials in p , q , and r , then the relation*

$$[p, q]F + [q, r]G + [r, p]G = 0 \quad (\text{A2.3})$$

is satisfied if and only if there exist polynomials $f(p, q, r)$, $g(p, q, r)$, and $h(p, q, r)$ such that

$$F = [q, r]h - [r, p]g, \quad G = [r, p]f - [p, q]h, \quad H = [p, q]g - [q, r]f. \quad (\text{A2.4})$$

Proof is easily obtained by induction and is analogous to the proof of the preceding lemma. The case $n_- = 0$ is considered in Lemma A2.4.

Lemma A2.3. *Let p be supervariable with $n \geq 2$ even components and no odd components. Let $F(p)$, $G(p)$, $u(p)$, and $v(p)$ be polynomials in p such that $u(p)$ and $v(p)$ do not depend on p_1 . Let $v|_{p_2=0} \neq 0$. Then the relation*

$$(p_1 p_2 + u)F + vG = 0 \quad (\text{A2.5})$$

is satisfied if and only if there is a polynomial $f(p)$ such that

$$F = v f(p), \quad G = -(p_1 p_2 + u) f(p). \quad (\text{A2.6})$$

Proof. We perform the following change of variables: the variable $x = p_1 p_2 + u$ is introduced instead of the variable p_1 and all other variables remain unchanged. Then we have $p_1 = (x - u)/p_2$. Let $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{G}$ denote the functions F and G expressed in terms of the new variables. Relation (A2.5) assumes the form $x\tilde{F} + v\tilde{G} = 0$, where the functions $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{G}$ are polynomials in x , $p_2, \dots, p_n$, and $1/p_2$, i.e., $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G} \in \mathbb{K}[x, p_2, \dots, p_n, 1/p_2]$.

We represent the function $\tilde{G}$ in the form $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_0 + x\tilde{G}_1$, where $\tilde{G}_1 \in \mathbb{K}[x, p_2, \dots, p_n, 1/p_2]$ and $\tilde{G}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{G}|_{x=0} \in \mathbb{K}[p_2, \dots, p_n, 1/p_2]$. Then we have $\tilde{G}_0 = 0$ and $\tilde{F} + v\tilde{G}_1 = 0$. Returning to the initial variables, we obtain $F = -vG_1(p)$, where $G_1 \in \mathbb{K}[p, 1/p_2]$. We expand G_1 in the powers of $1/p_2$:

$$G_1 = -f + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{f_i}{p_2^i},$$

where f and f_i are polynomials in p such that f_i do not depend on p_2 , $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Because F is a polynomial and $v|_{p_2=0} \neq 0$, we have $f_i = 0$, $F = vf$, and $G_1 = -f \in \mathbb{K}[p]$. It hence obviously follows that $G = -(p_1 p_2 + u)f$.

Lemma A2.4. *Let p , q , and r be supervariables with $n \geq 4$ even components and with no odd components. If $F(p, q, r)$, $G(p, q, r)$, and $H(p, q, r)$ are polynomials in p , q , and r then the relation*

$$[p, q]F + [q, r]G + [r, p]H = 0 \quad (\text{A2.7})$$

is satisfied if and only if there are polynomials $f(p, q, r)$, $g(p, q, r)$, and $h(p, q, r)$ such that

$$F = [q, r]h - [r, p]g, \quad G = [r, p]f - [p, q]h, \quad H = [p, q]g - [q, r]f. \quad (\text{A2.8})$$

Proof. We introduce the new variables $x = [p, q]$, $z = [r, p]$, and $u = q_1 r_2 - q_2 r_1$ instead of p_1 , p_2 , and q_1 . All other variables remain unchanged. Let

$$y = [q, r], \quad y_2 = y - u = \sum_{i,j=3}^n \omega^{ij} q_i r_j, \quad x_2 = \sum_{i,j=3}^n \omega^{ij} p_i q_j, \quad z_2 = \sum_{i,j=3}^n \omega^{ij} r_i p_j.$$

Because $n \geq 4$, we have $y_2 \neq 0$. The old variables are expressed in terms of the new variables as follows:

$$p_1 = -\frac{r_1 r_2 (x - x_2) + (q_2 r_1 + u)(z - z_2)}{r_2 u}, \quad p_2 = -\frac{r_2 (x - x_2) + q_2 (z - z_2)}{u}, \quad q_1 = \frac{q_2 r_1 + u}{r_2}.$$

Relation (A2.7) assumes the form

$$x\tilde{F} + (u + y_2)\tilde{G} + z\tilde{H} = 0,$$

where $y_2 \in \mathbb{K}[p_3, \dots, p_n, r_3, \dots, r_n]$, and $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \tilde{H} \in \mathbb{K}[x, z, u, p_3, \dots, p_n, q_2, \dots, q_n, r_1, \dots, r_n, 1/u, 1/r_2]$. We represent the functions $\tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{H}$ in the form

$$\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_0 - x\tilde{G}_1, \quad (\text{A2.9})$$

$$\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_0 - x\tilde{H}_1, \quad (\text{A2.10})$$

where $\tilde{G}_i, \tilde{H}_i \in \mathbb{K}[x, z, u, p_3, \dots, p_n, q_2, \dots, q_n, r_1, \dots, r_n, 1/u, 1/r_2]$ and $\tilde{G}_0 = \tilde{G}|_{x=0}$ and $\tilde{H}_0 = \tilde{H}|_{x=0}$ do not depend on x .

We obtain $\tilde{F} = -(u + y_2)\tilde{G}_1 - z\tilde{H}_1$. In the initial variables, the obtained relation has the form $F = (u + y_2)g - zh$, where $g, h \in \mathbb{K}[p, q, r, 1/r_2, 1/u]$. We expand g and h in the powers of $1/u$:

$$g = g_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{g_i}{u^i}, \quad h = h_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{h_i}{u^i}.$$

We obviously have $g_0, h_0 \in \mathbb{K}[p, q, r, 1/r_2]$ and $g_i, h_i \in \mathbb{K}[p, q_2, \dots, q_n, r, 1/r_2]$. Because F is a polynomial, it follows that $y_2 g_N - z h_N = 0$ and $g_{i+1} + y_2 g_i - z h_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N-1$. The condition $n \geq 4$ implies that $y_2 \neq 0$ and in view of Lemma A2.3 we conclude that $g_i = z\gamma_i$. We thus obtain $F = yg_0 + g_1 - zh_0 = yg_0 - z(h_0 - \gamma_1)$ or

$$F = y\mu + z\nu, \quad (\text{A2.11})$$

where $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{K}[p, q, r, 1/r_2]$.

Let $M \geq 1$ be the highest power in the expansion of μ and ν in $1/r_2$, i.e.,

$$\mu = \frac{\alpha_M}{r_2^M} + \mu'_{M-1}, \quad \nu = \frac{\beta_M}{r_2^M} + \nu'_{M-1}, \quad \frac{\partial \alpha_M}{\partial r_2} = \frac{\partial \beta_M}{\partial r_2} = 0.$$

Here, the functions α_M and β_M are polynomials and the functions μ'_{M-1} and ν'_{M-1} have the degree $\leq M-1$ with respect to $1/r_2$. Relation (A2.11) assumes the form

$$F = y\mu'_{M-1} + z\nu'_{M-1} + \frac{q_1\alpha_M - p_1\beta_M}{r_2^{M-1}} + \frac{y_0\alpha_M + z_0\beta_M}{r_2^M},$$

$$y_0 = -q_2r_1 + y_2, \quad z_0 = r_1p_2 + z_2.$$

This relation implies the equation $y_0\alpha_M + z_0\beta_M = 0$. By Lemma A2.3, it has the solution $\alpha_M = z_0\varphi_M$, $\beta_M = -y_0\varphi_M$, where φ_M is a polynomial. Using the relation $q_1z_0 + p_1y_0 = q_1z + p_1y$, we find that

$$F = y\mu_{M-1} + z\nu_{M-1}, \quad \mu_{M-1} = \mu'_{M-1} + \frac{p_1\varphi_M}{r_2^{M-1}}, \quad \nu_{M-1} = \nu'_{M-1} + \frac{q_1\varphi_M}{r_2^{M-1}},$$

where μ_{M-1} and ν_{M-1} are polynomials of degree $M-1$ with respect to $1/r_2$, and so on. We thus have verified that the function F can be represented in the form

$$F = yg - zh, \tag{A2.12}$$

where g and h are polynomials.

Analogously, we establish the validity of the following representation for the functions G and H : $G = zf_1 + x(g_1 - g)$, $H = x(f_2 - h) + y(g_2 - h_1)$, where f_1 , f_2 , g_1 , and g_2 are polynomials.

Substituting these expressions in equation (A2.7) gives $x(yg_1 + zf_2) + yzg_2 = 0$. Applying Lemma A2.3 several times, we find that $g_1 = z\varphi_1$, $f_2 = y\varphi_2$, $g_2 = -x(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)$, which implies the statement of the lemma with $f = f_1 + x\varphi_1$.

Appendix 3

Lemma A3.1. *If $n_+ \geq 2$, then the general solution of the equation*

$$\frac{\partial f(k, l)}{\partial k_A} = l^A g(k, l), \quad l^A = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} \omega^{AB} l_B \quad (\text{A3.1})$$

for polynomials $f(k, l)$ and $g(k, l)$ has the form

$$f(k, l) = H(x, l) = \sum_{q=0}^Q f_q(l) x^q, \quad g(k, l) = \frac{\partial H(x, l)}{\partial x},$$

where $f_q(l)$ are some polynomials in l and $x = k_A l^A = [k, l]$.

Proof. Multiplying equation (A3.1) by k_A , we obtain $N_k f(k, l) = x g(k, l)$, which implies that $f(k, l) = f_0(l) + x h_1(k, l)$, where $h_1(k, l)$ is a polynomial. Substituting this representation for the polynomial $f(k, l)$ in equation (A3.1) yields $x \partial h_1(k, l) / \partial k_A = l^A [h_1(k, l) - g(k, l)]$. Considering this equation for the case $A = 1$, $\varepsilon_A = 0$ and using Lemma A2.1, we obtain $h_1(k, l) - g(k, l) = x g_1(k, l)$, where $g_1(k, l)$ is a polynomial. It hence follows that $\partial h_1(k, l) / \partial k_A = l^A g_1(k, l)$ and, therefore, $h_1(k, l) = f_1(l) + x h_2(k, l)$ and so on. Substituting the expression $f(k, l) = H(x, l)$ in initial equation (A3.1), we obtain the statement of the lemma for $g(k, l)$.

If $f(l, k) = \pm f(k, l)$, then all coefficient functions $f_q(l)$ do not depend on l , and we can write $f(k, l) = H(x)$, where $H(x)$ is a polynomial in x .

Appendix 4

Lemma A4.1. *If $n_+ \neq 1$, then the general solution of the equation*

$$k_A a_B(k) - \sigma(A, B) k_B a_A(k) = 0 \quad (\text{A4.1})$$

for polynomials $a_A(k)$ has the form

$$a_A(k) = k_A a(k),$$

where $a(k)$ is an arbitrary polynomial.

Proof. Obviously, the expression $a_A(k) = k_A a(k)$ is a solution of equation (A4.1) for arbitrary functions $a(k)$.

We denote the even variables by k_j ($j = 1, \dots, n_+$) and the odd variables by k_α , $\alpha = 1 + n_+, \dots, n_- + n_+$. Applying the operator $\sigma(A, B) \partial / \partial k_B$ to equation (A4.1), we obtain $[N_+ + n_+ + (n_- - N_-) - (-1)^{\varepsilon_A}] a_A(k) = k_A \partial a_B(k) / \partial k_B$, where $N_+ = k_j \partial / \partial k_j$ and $N_- = k_\alpha \partial / \partial k_\alpha$. Obviously, the operator $[N_+ + n_+ + (n_- - N_-) - (-1)^{\varepsilon_A}]$ is invertible in the space of polynomials if $n_+ \neq 1$ and has the only null eigenvector $P(k) = k_2 \dots k_{n_-+1}$ for $n_+ = 1$.

Thus, if $n_+ \neq 1$ then we have $a_A(k) = k_A a(k)$, where $a(k) = k_B [N_+ + n_+ + (n_- - N_-)]^{-1} \partial a_B(k) / \partial k_B$ is a polynomial.

If $n_+ = 1$, then there is the additional solution

$$a_1(k) = k_2 \dots k_{n_-+1}, \quad a_\alpha(k) = 0, \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, n_- + 1.$$

Appendix 5

In this appendix, we derive a useful representation for generalized functions supported by a hyperplane.

Lemma A5.1. *Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a generalized function of order $\leq N$ with compact support, $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\partial^\lambda f(x) = 0$ for $|\lambda| \leq N$ and $x \in \text{supp } u$. Then we have $u(f) = 0$.*

The proof of Lemma A5.1 can be found in [13].

Lemma A5.2. *For every generalized function $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$ whose support is contained in the hyperplane $x_2 = 0$, there is a unique locally finite decomposition*

$$u = \sum_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(x_1) \partial^{\lambda} \delta(x_2), \quad (\text{A5.1})$$

where $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})$ and the summation is performed over all multi-indices $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n_2})$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ and $\varphi = 1$ in a neighborhood of the origin. For every multi-index λ , we define the linear operator $L_{\lambda} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$ by the relation $[L_{\lambda}f](x_1, x_2) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} f(x_1) \varphi(x_2) x_2^{\lambda} / \lambda!$, $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})$. If a family of generalized functions u_{λ} satisfies (A5.1), then we have

$$u_{\lambda}(f) = u(L_{\lambda}f) \quad (\text{A5.2})$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})$ and, therefore, representation (A5.1) is unique. We now show that the generalized functions u_{λ} defined by (A5.2) form a locally finite family satisfying (A5.1). Let K be a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and χ be a function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})$ equal to unity in a neighborhood of K . Let u^{χ} be the generalized function with compact support defined by the relation $u^{\chi}(x_1, x_2) = \chi(x_1) u(x_1, x_2)$ and let N denote the order of u^{χ} . If $|\lambda| > N$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})$, then all derivatives of $L_{\lambda}f$ of order $\leq N$ vanish on the support of u^{χ} and by Lemma A5.1, we have $u^{\chi}(L_{\lambda}f) = 0$. For $\text{supp } f \subset K$, we hence obtain

$$u_{\lambda}(f) = u(L_{\lambda}f) = u^{\chi}(L_{\lambda}f) = 0.$$

The family u_{λ} is therefore locally finite. It remains to show that the relation

$$u(g) = \sum_{|\lambda| \leq N} (-1)^{|\lambda|} u(L_{\lambda}g_{\lambda}), \quad (\text{A5.3})$$

where $g_{\lambda}(x_1) = \partial^{\lambda} g(x_1, x_2)|_{x_2=0}$, holds for any $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$ such that $\text{supp } g \subset K \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. We observe that the function $G = \sum_{|\lambda| \leq N} (-1)^{|\lambda|} \tilde{g}_{\lambda}$ is, up to the factor $\varphi(x_2)$, the Taylor expansion of the function g in x_2 -variable. Therefore, all derivatives of the function $g - G$ of order $\leq N$ vanish on the support of u_{χ} and we have $u_{\chi}(g - G) = 0$ by Lemma A5.1. Hence the relation (A5.3) follows because $u(g - G) = u_{\chi}(g - G)$ by the above assumption about the support of g .

Appendix 6

In this Appendix, we prove the existence of representation (4.47) for every $\zeta(z|f) \in \mathbf{E}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ such that $d_1^{\text{ad}} \zeta(z|f, g) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ for any fixed $f, g \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. Obviously, it suffices to find $\zeta_D(z|f) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \zeta(z|u)}{\partial u^A} = \frac{\partial \zeta_D(z|u)}{\partial u^A}, \quad A = 1, \dots, n_+ + n_-.$$

It immediately follows from expression (4.1) for the differential d_1^{ad} that $\zeta(z|\{f, g\}) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ for any fixed $f, g \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$. This implies that $\zeta(z|\partial f/\partial u^A) \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$ for any $f \in \mathbf{D}_{n_+}^{n_-}$, and the existence of $\zeta_D(z|f)$ with the required properties is ensured by the following lemma (for simplicity, we formulate this lemma for the case $n_- = 0$).

Lemma A6.1. *Let generalized functions $T_i \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$, $i = 1, \dots, n_1$, satisfy the conditions*

$$\frac{\partial T_i}{\partial x^j}(x, y) = \frac{\partial T_j}{\partial x^i}(x, y), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n_1, \quad (\text{A6.1})$$

and let the function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1})$ be such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \varphi(x) dx = 1.$$

For $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$, set

$$T(\psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} T_i(\psi^i),$$

where

$$\psi^i(x, y) = \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} dx' x'^i \varphi(x - tx') \psi(x + (1-t)x', y). \quad (\text{A6.2})$$

Then the functional T belongs to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$ and satisfies the conditions $T_i = \partial T / \partial x^i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n_1$.

Proof. Obviously, the integral in the right-hand side of (A6.2) is convergent for any x and y , and ψ^i are C^∞ -functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$. We show that $\psi^i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$. Let $R > 0$ be such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \mid |x| \leq R\}$ and $\text{supp } \psi \subset K_R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2} \mid \max(|x|, |y|) \leq R\}$. It follows from (A6.2) that ψ^i can be nonzero only if

$$|x - tx'| \leq R, \quad |x + (1-t)x'| \leq R, \quad |y| \leq R.$$

This implies that $|x'| \leq 2R$ and, therefore, $|x| \leq 3R$. We thus have $\text{supp } \psi^i \subset K_{3R}$ and $\psi^i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$. Let $\mathcal{D}(K)$ denote the space of smooth functions whose supports are contained in a compact set K . As shown above, $\psi^i \in \mathcal{D}(K_{3R})$ for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(K_R)$. We now check that the mappings $L^i : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$ taking ψ to ψ^i are continuous and, as a consequence, $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$. It suffices to show that L^i are continuous as mappings from $\mathcal{D}(K_R)$ to $\mathcal{D}(K_{3R})$ for every $R > 0$, i.e., that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(K_R)$ and every $k = 0, 1, \dots$, the inequality $\|\psi^i\|_k \leq C \|\psi\|_{k'}$ holds, where

$$\|\psi\|_k = \max_{|\lambda| \leq k} \sup_{x, y} |\partial^\lambda \psi(x, y)|$$

and C and k' depend only on k and R (but not on ψ). For $|\lambda| \leq k$, we have

$$|\partial^\lambda \psi^i(x, y)| \leq \int_0^1 dt \int_{|x'| \leq 2R} dx' |x'^i \partial^\lambda [\varphi(x - tx') \psi(x + (1-t)x', y)]| \leq 2^k \int_{|x'| \leq 2R} dx' \|\varphi\|_k \|\psi\|_k.$$

Hence $\|\psi^i\|_k \leq C \|\psi\|_k$, where

$$C = 2^k \int_{|x'| \leq 2R} dx' \|\varphi\|_k.$$

We now verify that $T_i = \partial T / \partial x^i$. Performing the change of variables $x' \rightarrow (x - s)/t$ in the integral representing $L^i \partial \psi / \partial x^j$, we find that

$$\left[L^i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^j} \right] (x, y) = \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} ds \frac{x^i - s^i}{t^n} \varphi(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left[\psi \left(\frac{x + (t-1)s}{t}, y \right) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Phi^i(x, y) - \delta_j^i \Phi(x, y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^i(x, y) &= \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} ds \frac{x^i - s^i}{t^n} \varphi(s) \psi \left(\frac{x + (t-1)s}{t}, y \right) = \\ &= \int_0^1 t dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} dx' x'^i \varphi(x - tx') \psi(x + (1-t)x', y), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, y) &= \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \frac{ds}{t^n} \varphi(s) \psi \left(\frac{x + (t-1)s}{t}, y \right) = \\ &= \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} dx' \varphi(x - tx') \psi(x + (1-t)x', y). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6.4})$$

As in the case of $L^i \psi$ above, we make sure that $\Phi, \Phi^i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2})$. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial x^j} \right] (\psi) &= -T \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^j} \right) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} T_i \left(L^i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^j} \right) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} T_i \left(\frac{\partial \Phi^i}{\partial x^j} \right) + T_j(\Phi) = \\ &= T_j \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\partial \Phi^i}{\partial x^i} + \Phi \right), \end{aligned}$$

where (A6.1) has been used for deriving the last equality. But it follows from (A6.3) and (A6.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\partial \Phi^i}{\partial x^i} (x, y) &= \int_0^1 dt t \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} dx' \varphi(x - tx') \psi(x + (1-t)x', y) = \\ &= \psi(x, y) \int dx' \varphi(x - x') - \Phi(x, y) = \psi(x, y) - \Phi(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

We hence have $[\partial T / \partial x^j] (\psi) = T_j(\psi)$.

Appendix 7

We discuss here the solution of the cohomology equation (3.11) for $M_{3|_{\text{loc}}}$. In this Appendix we write the upper indices “(tr)” or “(ad)” at corresponding forms.

Let us establish a correspondence between the forms $M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}$ and $M_p^{(\text{ad})}$. Namely, let

$$M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}(f, g, h, \dots) = \int \dots dv du dz m_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}(z, u, v, \dots) f(z) g(u) h(v) \dots,$$

and

$$M_p^{(\text{ad}, a)}(z|g, h) = \int \dots dv du m_p^{(\text{ad}, a)}(z|u, v, \dots) g(u) h(v) \dots$$

Then the correspondence is defined by the formula

$$m_p^{(\text{ad},a)}(z|u, v, \dots) = m_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}(z, u, v, \dots), \quad \varepsilon(M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}) = \varepsilon(M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}) + n_-, \quad (\text{A7.1})$$

where upper index “a” means that the kernel $m_p^{(\text{ad},a)}(z|u, v, \dots)$ is completely antisymmetric under transpositions of all coordinates including z . Such forms $M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}$ are called here completely antisymmetric. Conversely, having completely antisymmetric form $M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}$, we can construct the form $M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}$ with the help of the same correspondence (A7.1) between the kernels. In such a way, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the forms $M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}$ and completely antisymmetric forms $M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}$. The following relation

$$(-1)^{n-p} \sigma(f, M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}) \int dz f(z) M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}(z|f_1, \dots) = M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}(f, f_1, \dots)$$

takes place.

The form $M_p^{(\text{tr})}$ is called local $M_{p|\text{loc}}^{(\text{tr})}$, if it equals zero in each case when supports of some two arguments do not intersect. Analogously the form $M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}(z|\dots)$ is called local $M_{p|\text{loc}}^{(\text{ad},a)}(z|\dots)$, if it equals zero in each case when supports of some two function-arguments do not intersect or when the support of some function do not intersect with the point z .

Evidently, the relation (A7.1) defines the one to one correspondence between local forms $M_{p+1|\text{loc}}^{(\text{tr})}$ and $M_{p|\text{loc}}^{(\text{ad},a)}$.

Let us establish the connection between the actions of differentials on $M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}$ and $M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}$.

The expression for $d_p^{\text{ad}} M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}$ is defined by formula (2.6). Apply the operator $(-1)^{n-p} \sigma(f, M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}) \int dz f(z)$ to this expression. In view of (2.8) the first term of the first sum in (2.6) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{n-p} \sigma(f, M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}) \int dz f(z) \sigma(f_1, M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}) \{f_1(z), \int \dots dz_1 m_p^{(\text{ad},a)}(z|z_1, \dots) f_2(z_1) \dots\} = \\ & = (-1)^{n-p} \sigma(f, M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}) \sigma(f_1, M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}) \int dz \{f(z), f_1(z)\} \int \dots dz_1 m_p^{(\text{ad},a)}(z|z_1, \dots) f_2(z_1) \dots = \\ & = \int \dots dz_1 dz m_p^{(\text{ad},a)}(z|z_1, \dots) \{f(z), f_1(z)\} f_2(z_1) \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which exactly coincides with the first term ($i = 1, j = 2$) in the expression (2.5) for $d_{p+1}^{\text{tr}} M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}$. For the other terms, we similarly obtain

$$(-1)^{n-p} \sigma(f, M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}) \int dz f(z) d_p^{\text{ad}} M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}(z|f_1, \dots) = d_{p+1}^{\text{tr}} M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}(f, f_1, \dots).$$

It hence follows that the relation (A7.1) determines a one-to-one correspondence between the closed forms (cocycles) $M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}$ and $M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}$:

$$d_p^{\text{ad}} M_p^{(\text{ad},a)} = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad d_{p+1}^{\text{tr}} M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})} = 0.$$

If the form $M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})}$ is exact (coboundary), $M_{p+1}^{(\text{tr})} = d_p^{\text{tr}} M_p^{(\text{tr})}$, then the corresponding form $M_p^{(\text{ad},a)}$ is also exact, $M_p^{(\text{ad},a)} = d_{p-1}^{\text{ad}} M_{p-1}^{(\text{ad},a)}$, where $M_{p-1}^{(\text{ad},a)}$ is constructed from $M_p^{(\text{tr})}$ by (A7.1).

The converse statement is not valid.

We now solve equation (3.11) for the form $M_{3|\text{loc}}^{(\text{tr})}$ using the general form (4.27) of the solution of the cohomology equation (4.12) for the form $M_{2|\text{loc}}^{(\text{ad})}$. From the above it follows that for constructing $M_{3|\text{loc}}^{(\text{tr})}(f, g, h)$, one has to take the completely antisymmetric part $M_{2|\text{loc}}^{(\text{ad}, a)}(z|g, h)$ of $M_{2|\text{loc}}^{(\text{ad})}(z|g, h)$ or, which is equivalent, to take the antisymmetric part of the form

$$\begin{aligned} V(f, g, h) &= \sigma(f, M_2^{(\text{ad})}) \int dz f(z) M_{2|\text{loc}}^{(\text{ad})}(z|g, h) = V_1(f, g, h)_1 + V_2(f, g, h), \\ V_1(f, g, h) &= a \int dz f(z) \left[g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z) \right], \\ V_2(f, g, h) &= \sigma(f, M_2) \int dz f(z) d_1^{\text{ad}} T_1(z|g, h), \quad T_1(z|g) = \sum_{p=0}^K t^{(C)p}(z) (\partial_C^z)^p g(z). \end{aligned}$$

As has been already noted above, the functional $V_1(f, g, h)$ is antisymmetric w.r.t. f, g , and h . The functional $V_2(f, g, h)$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(f, g, h) &= \sigma(f, M_2) \int dz f(z) [\sigma(g, M_2) \{g(z), T_1(z|g)\} - \sigma(g, h) \sigma(h, M_2) \{h(z), T_1(z|g)\} - \\ &\quad - T_1(z|\{g, h\})] = \tilde{T}(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h) \tilde{T}(\{f, h\}, g) - \tilde{T}(f, \{g, h\}) = \\ &= [T_-(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h) T_-(\{f, h\}, g) - T_-(f, \{g, h\})] + \\ &\quad + [T_+(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h) T_+(\{f, h\}, g) - T_+(f, \{g, h\})] \equiv \\ &\equiv V_{2-}(f, g, h) + V_{2+}(f, g, h), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}(f, g) &= \int dz \sum_{p=0}^K t^{(C)p}(z) (-1)^{|\varepsilon_C|_{1,p} \varepsilon(f)} f(z) (\partial_C^z)^p g(z), \\ T_{\pm}(f, g) &= \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{T}(f, g) \pm \sigma(f, g) \tilde{T}(g, f)] = \pm \sigma(f, g) T_{\pm}(g, f). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the functionals $T_{\pm}(f, g)$ have the same structure as the functional $\tilde{T}(f, g)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\pm}(f, g) &= \int dz \sum_{p=0}^K t_{\pm}^{(C)p}(z) (-1)^{|\varepsilon_C|_{1,p} \varepsilon(f)} f(z) (\partial_C^z)^p g(z), \\ t_{\pm}^{(C)p}(z) &= t^{(C)p}(z) \pm \sum_{q=p}^K C_q^p (\partial_D^z)^{q-p} t^{(D)q-p} t^{(C)p}(z) (-1)^{q+ (|\varepsilon_C|_{1,p} + |\varepsilon_D|_{1,q-p} + \varepsilon(M_2)) |\varepsilon_D|_{1,q-p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the functional

$$V_{2-}(f, g, h) = d_2^{\text{tr}} T_-(f, g, h)$$

is completely antisymmetric. By construction, the functional $V_{2+}(f, g, h)$ is antisymmetric with respect to g and h . Imposing the antisymmetry w.r.t. f and g yields

$$T_+(f, \{g, h\}) + \sigma(f, g)T_+(g, \{f, h\}) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^K \left(t_+^{(C)^p}(z) (\partial_C^z)^p [\{g(z), h(z)\}] - (-1)^{p+(\varepsilon(M_2)+|\varepsilon_C|_{1,p})\varepsilon_C|_{1,p}} \{(\partial_C^z)^p [t_+^{(C)^p}(z)g(z)], h(z)\} \right) = 0. \quad (\text{A7.2})$$

Let $K > 0$. In this equation, we consider the term with the highest derivative of the function $h(z)$ whose order is equal to $K + 1$. It enters only the first term of (A7.2) and has the form

$$t_+^{(C)^K}(z) (\partial_C^z)^K \frac{\partial}{\partial z^A} h(z) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$t_+^{(C)^K}(z) = 0.$$

Analogously, we make sure that all coefficient functions $t_+^{(C)^p}(z)$ with $p \geq 1$ vanish. As a result, equation (A7.2) is reduced to

$$\{t^{(C)^0}(z), h(z)\} = 0 \implies t^{(C)^0}(z) = b = \text{const}, \quad \varepsilon(b) = \varepsilon(M_2) + n_-,$$

which gives

$$V_2(f, g, h) = b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) = b \int dz f(z) \{g(z), h(z)\}. \quad (\text{A7.3})$$

Here, the functional $V_2(f, g, h)$ is completely antisymmetric and, therefore, is a cocycle in the trivial representation.

For $n_+ = n_-$, this cocycle is trivial. Indeed, we have

$$\int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) = d_1^{\text{tr}} \mu(f, g, h),$$

where

$$\mu(f, g) = -\frac{1}{4} \int dz z^A \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z^A} g(z) = -\sigma(f, g) \mu(g, f).$$

Let us show that for $n_+ \neq n_-$, the cocycle (A7.3) is nontrivial. Indeed, suppose the relation

$$\begin{aligned} b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) &= d_2^{\text{tr}} M_2^{\text{tr}}(f, g, h) = \\ &= M_2^{\text{tr}}(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h) M_2^{\text{tr}}(\{f, h\}, g) - M_2^{\text{tr}}(f, \{g, h\}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7.4})$$

is valid. Choosing the functions f , g , and h such that

$$\text{supp}(h) \cap [\text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g)] = \emptyset,$$

we obtain

$$\hat{M}_2^{\text{tr}}(\{f, g\}, h) = 0,$$

As shown in the section 3.2, this equation implies that

$$M_2(f, g) = c\bar{f}\bar{g} + M_{2|2}(f, g),$$

where the expression for the local form $M_{2|2}(f, g)$ is given by (3.4). Equation (A7.4) is reduced to

$$b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) = M_{2|2}(\{f, g\}, h) - \sigma(g, h) M_{2|2}(\{f, h\}, g) - M_{2|2}(f, \{g, h\}). \quad (\text{A7.5})$$

The total order of the derivatives in the left-hand side is equal to 2 and repeating the arguments of section 3.2, we conclude that one should set $Q = 1$ in the expression (3.4) for $M_{2|2}$. Equation (A7.5) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} \{f(z), g(z)\} = & 2[\sigma(A, f)\{m_2^A, f\} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z^A} - \sigma(f, g)\sigma(A, g)\{m_2^A, g\} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^A}] - m\{f, g\} + \\ & + \{m, f\}g - \sigma(f, g)\{m, g\}f. \end{aligned}$$

Further following the arguments of section 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} = 0 & \implies m(z) = m = \text{const}, \quad \varepsilon(m) = n_- + \varepsilon(M_2), \\ 2m_2^A(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CB} - 2\sigma(A, B)m_2^B \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^C} \omega^{CA} + (m + b)\omega^{AB} &= 0, \\ (4 + n_+ - n_-)m &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7.6})$$

The general solution of equation (A7.6) is

$$m_2^A(z) = -\frac{1}{4}(m + b)z^A + \frac{1}{2}m_2(z) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^B} \omega^{BA}.$$

Hence it follows that

$$m = \frac{n_- - n_+}{4}(m + b). \quad (\text{A7.7})$$

Since $n_+ \neq n_-$, it follows from (A7.7) that equation (A7.5) has a solution only for $b = 0$.

The general solution of the cohomology equation for $M_{3|2}^{(\text{tr})}$ thus has the form

$$\begin{aligned} M_{3|2}^{(\text{tr})}(f, g, h) = & a \int dz f(z) \left[g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z) \right] + \\ & + b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) + d_2^{\text{tr}} M_2^{\text{tr}}(f, g, h). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7.8})$$

For $n_+ \neq n_-$, the first two terms in this expression are independent nontrivial cocycles. Indeed, suppose that

$$a \int dz f(z) \left[g(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z) \right] + b \int dz \{f(z), g(z)\} h(z) = \\ = d_2^{\text{tr}} M_2^{\text{tr}}(f, g, h). \quad (\text{A7.9})$$

Equation (A7.9) means that the form $ag(z) \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B} \right)^3 h(z)$ is a trivial cocycle in the space of the forms taking values in adjoint representation (recall that the form $\{g(z), h(z)\}$ is trivial cocycle in this space). As it was proved above, this is possible only for $a = 0$. But we have just seen that in this case, equation (A7.9) has a solution only for $b = 0$.

If $n_+ = n_-$, then the first term in (A7.8) is the only nontrivial cocycle.

Acknowledgements. The work was supported by the RFBR (grants No. 02-01-00930 (I.T.), No. 02-02-17067 (S.K.), and No. 02-02-16946 (A.S.)), by INTAS (grants No. 03-51-6346 (A.S.) and No. 00-00-262 (I.T.)) and by the grant LSS-1578.2003.2.

References

- [1] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerovich and D. Sternheimer, *Ann.Phys*, **111**, 61–110 (1978); *Ann.Phys*, **111**, 111–151 (1978).
- [2] M. V. Karasev and V. P. Maslov, *Nonlinear Poisson brackets. Geometry and Quantization* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1991); M. V. Karasev and V. P. Maslov, *Nonlinear Poisson brackets. Geometry and Quantization*, AMS, Providence, RI (1993).
- [3] B. Fedosov, *Deformation Quantization and Index Theory*, Akademie, Berlin (1996).
- [4] M.Kontsevich, “Deformation Quantization of Poisson Manifolds I,” q-alg/9709040 (1997).
- [5] V. V. Zharinov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **136**, 1049–1065 (2003).
- [6] D. A. Leites and I. M. Shchepochkina, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **126**, 281–306 (2001).
- [7] I. V. Tyutin, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **127**, 619–631 (2001).
- [8] I. V. Tyutin, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **128**, 1271–1292 (2001).
- [9] S. E. Konstein and I. V. Tyutin, “Cohomologies of the Poisson superalgebra on $(2, n)$ -superdimensional spaces,” hep-th/0411235.
- [10] S. E. Konstein and I. V. Tyutin, “Deformations of the central extension of the Poisson superalgebra”, hep-th/0501027.
- [11] M. Scheunert and R. B. Zhang, *J.Math.Phys.*, **39**, 5024–5061 (1998), q-alg/9701037.

- [12] S. E. Konstein, A. G. Smirnov and I. V. Tyutin, “General form of deformation of Poisson superbracket,” hep-th/0401023.
- [13] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York–Tokyo (1983).